

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from                      to                      .

Commission File Number: 001-32269

**EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Maryland**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**20-1076777**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**2795 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84121**

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(801) 562-5556**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of October 31, 2011 was 94,373,253.

---

---

<a href="#">NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)</a>	9
<a href="#">ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</a>	20
<a href="#">ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</a>	31
<a href="#">ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</a>	32
<b><a href="#">PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</a></b>	32
<a href="#">ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</a>	32
<a href="#">ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</a>	32
<a href="#">ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</a>	33
<a href="#">ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</a>	33
<a href="#">ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED</a>	33
<a href="#">ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION</a>	33
<a href="#">ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</a>	33
<a href="#">SIGNATURES</a>	34

[Table of Contents](#)

**STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

Certain information set forth in this report contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “estimates,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “anticipates,” or “intends” or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. We may also make additional forward-looking statements from time to time. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, by us or on our behalf, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

All forward-looking statements, including without limitation, management’s examination of historical operating trends and estimate of future earnings, are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but there can be no assurance that management’s expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this report. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the risks referenced in “Part II. Item 1A. Risk Factors” below and in “Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors” included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

- changes in general economic conditions, the real estate industry and the markets in which we operate;
- the effect of competition from new and existing self-storage facilities or other storage alternatives, which could cause rents and occupancy rates to decline;
- difficulties in our ability to evaluate, finance, complete and integrate acquisitions and developments successfully and to lease up those properties, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;
- the impact of the regulatory environment as well as national, state, and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, those governing real estate investment trusts (“REITs”), which could increase our expenses and reduce our cash available for distribution;
- disruptions in credit and financial markets and resulting difficulties in raising capital or obtaining credit at reasonable rates or at all, which could impede our ability to grow;
- increased interest rates and operating costs;
- reductions in asset valuations and related impairment charges;
- delays in the development and construction process, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- the failure to maintain our REIT status for federal income tax purposes;
- economic uncertainty due to the impact of war or terrorism, which could adversely affect our business plan; and
- our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and management members.

[Table of Contents](#)

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	<u>September 30, 2011</u> (unaudited)	<u>December 31, 2010</u>
<b>Assets:</b>		
Real estate assets:		
Net operating real estate assets	\$ 2,051,567	\$ 1,935,319
Real estate under development	8,621	37,083
Net real estate assets	<u>2,060,188</u>	<u>1,972,402</u>
Investments in real estate ventures	134,219	140,560
Cash and cash equivalents	33,895	46,750
Restricted cash	30,352	30,498
Receivables from related parties and affiliated real estate joint ventures	61,184	10,061
Other assets, net	54,390	48,197
Total assets	<u>\$ 2,374,228</u>	<u>\$ 2,248,468</u>
<b>Liabilities, Noncontrolling Interests and Equity:</b>		
Notes payable	\$ 869,866	\$ 871,403
Notes payable to trusts	119,590	119,590
Exchangeable senior notes	87,663	87,663
Discount on exchangeable senior notes	(897)	(2,205)
Lines of credit	166,000	170,467
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	39,891	34,210
Other liabilities	30,046	28,269
Total liabilities	<u>1,312,159</u>	<u>1,309,397</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
<b>Equity:</b>		
Extra Space Storage Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized, 94,357,528 and 87,587,322 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	943	876
Paid-in capital	1,281,378	1,148,820
Accumulated other comprehensive deficit	(7,819)	(5,787)
Accumulated deficit	(267,122)	(262,508)
Total Extra Space Storage Inc. stockholders' equity	<u>1,007,380</u>	<u>881,401</u>
Noncontrolling interest represented by Preferred Operating Partnership units, net of \$100,000 note receivable	29,665	29,733
Noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	23,924	26,803
Other noncontrolling interests	1,100	1,134
Total noncontrolling interests and equity	<u>1,062,069</u>	<u>939,071</u>
Total liabilities, noncontrolling interests and equity	<u>\$ 2,374,228</u>	<u>\$ 2,248,468</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Extra Space Storage Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
(amounts in thousands, except share data)  
(unaudited)

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Property rental	\$ 69,475	\$ 59,332	\$ 195,265	\$ 172,261
Management and franchise fees	6,353	5,851	18,464	17,056
Tenant reinsurance	8,269	6,796	22,889	19,026
Total revenues	<u>84,097</u>	<u>71,979</u>	<u>236,618</u>	<u>208,343</u>
<b>Expenses:</b>				
Property operations	24,270	21,334	70,326	64,231
Tenant reinsurance	1,596	1,736	4,593	4,416
Unrecovered development and acquisition costs	346	211	2,165	423
Loss on sublease	—	2,000	—	2,000
General and administrative	12,306	10,618	36,396	32,903
Depreciation and amortization	14,364	12,519	42,041	37,140
Total expenses	<u>52,882</u>	<u>48,418</u>	<u>155,521</u>	<u>141,113</u>

Income from operations	31,215	23,561	81,097	67,230
Interest expense	(16,756)	(15,702)	(49,431)	(49,209)
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on exchangeable senior notes	(440)	(416)	(1,308)	(1,236)
Interest income	185	178	556	714
Interest income on note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit holder	1,213	1,213	3,638	3,638
Income before equity in earnings of real estate ventures and income tax expense	15,417	8,834	34,552	21,137
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures	1,873	1,736	6,060	4,796
Income tax expense	62	(1,088)	(603)	(3,347)
<b>Net income</b>	17,352	9,482	40,009	22,586
Net income allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership noncontrolling interests	(1,598)	(1,524)	(4,682)	(4,510)
Net income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling interests	(493)	(291)	(1,156)	(661)
<b>Net income attributable to common stockholders</b>	<u>\$ 15,261</u>	<u>\$ 7,667</u>	<u>\$ 34,171</u>	<u>\$ 17,415</u>
Net income per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.20
Diluted	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.20
Weighted average number of shares				
Basic	94,314,429	87,484,731	91,277,261	87,244,161
Diluted	98,867,803	92,189,852	95,866,290	91,969,869
<b>Cash dividends paid per common share</b>	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.30

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Extra Space Storage Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity**  
(amounts in thousands, except share data)  
(unaudited)

	Noncontrolling Interests			Extra Space Storage Inc. Stockholders' Equity					
	Preferred Operating Partnership	Operating Partnership	Other	Shares	Par Value	Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Deficit	Accumulated Deficit	Total Equity
<b>Balances at December 31, 2010</b>	\$ 29,733	\$ 26,803	\$ 1,134	87,587,322	\$ 876	\$ 1,148,820	\$ (5,787)	\$ (262,508)	\$ 939,071
Issuance of common stock upon the exercise of options	—	—	—	931,921	9	12,105	—	—	12,114
Restricted stock grants issued	—	—	—	222,130	2	—	—	—	2
Restricted stock grants cancelled	—	—	—	(12,909)	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock, net of offering costs	—	—	—	5,335,423	53	112,299	—	—	112,352
Compensation expense related to stock-based awards	—	—	—	—	—	3,895	—	—	3,895
Redemption of Operating Partnership units for common stock	—	(2,344)	—	293,641	3	2,341	—	—	—
Redemption of Operating Partnership units for cash	—	(271)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(271)
Comprehensive income:									
Net income (loss)	4,682	1,164	(8)	—	—	—	—	34,171	40,009
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(21)	(62)	—	—	—	—	(2,032)	—	(2,115)
Total comprehensive income									37,894
Tax effect from vesting of restricted stock grants and stock option exercises	—	—	—	—	—	1,918	—	—	1,918
Distributions to Operating Partnership units held by noncontrolling interests	(4,729)	(1,366)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,095)
Distributions to other noncontrolling interests	—	—	(26)	—	—	—	—	—	(26)
Dividends paid on common stock at \$0.42 per share	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(38,785)	(38,785)

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Extra Space Storage Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(amounts in thousands)  
(unaudited)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 40,009	\$ 22,586
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	42,041	37,140
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,720	3,323
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on exchangeable senior notes	1,308	1,236
Compensation expense related to stock-based awards	3,895	3,457
Loss on sublease	—	2,000
Distributions from real estate ventures in excess of earnings	4,665	4,830
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables from related parties and affiliated real estate joint ventures	(301)	(1,237)
Other assets	1,108	(2,162)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,681	2,059
Other liabilities	(1,469)	1,498
Net cash provided by operating activities	100,657	74,730
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Acquisition of real estate assets	(108,403)	(24,648)
Development and construction of real estate assets	(6,315)	(28,523)
Proceeds from sale of properties to joint venture	—	15,750
Investments in real estate ventures	(3,737)	(9,371)
Return of investment in real estate ventures	4,614	7,432
Change in restricted cash	146	6,315
Purchase of affiliated joint venture note receivable	(51,000)	—
Purchase of equipment and fixtures	(4,493)	(1,450)
Net cash used in investing activities	(169,188)	(34,495)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of transaction costs	112,352	—
Proceeds from notes payable and lines of credit	370,242	131,124
Principal payments on notes payable and lines of credit	(389,706)	(248,032)
Deferred financing costs	(4,149)	(2,674)
Investments from noncontrolling interests	—	87
Redemption of Operating Partnership units held by noncontrolling interest	(271)	(4,116)
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options	12,114	5,101
Dividends paid on common stock	(38,785)	(26,206)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests (Operating Partnership and other)	(6,121)	(5,671)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	55,676	(150,387)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(12,855)	(110,152)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	46,750	131,950
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$ 33,895	\$ 21,798

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Extra Space Storage Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(amounts in thousands)  
(unaudited)

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Supplemental schedule of cash flow information</b>		
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 45,048	\$ 45,593

**Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:**

Deconsolidation of joint ventures due to application of Accounting Standards Codification 810:			
Real estate assets, net	\$	—	\$ (42,739)
Investments in real estate ventures		—	404
Receivables from related parties and affiliated real estate joint ventures		—	21,142
Other assets and other liabilities		—	(51)
Notes payable		—	21,348
Other noncontrolling interests		—	(104)
Redemption of Operating Partnership units held by noncontrolling interests for common stock:			
Noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership	\$	2,344	\$ —
Common stock and paid-in capital		(2,344)	—
Tax effect from vesting of restricted stock grants and stock option exercises:			
Other assets	\$	1,918	\$ 995
Paid-in capital		(1,918)	(995)
Acquisitions of real estate assets			
Real estate assets, net	\$	8,660	\$ 6,475
Notes payable		(8,660)	(6,475)

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

**EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

**Amounts in thousands, except property and share data**

**1. ORGANIZATION**

Extra Space Storage Inc. (the “Company”) is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”), formed as a Maryland corporation on April 30, 2004 to own, operate, manage, acquire, develop and redevelop professionally managed self-storage facilities located throughout the United States. The Company continues the business of Extra Space Storage LLC and its subsidiaries, which had engaged in the self-storage business since 1977. The Company’s interest in its properties is held through its operating partnership, Extra Space Storage LP (the “Operating Partnership”), which was formed on May 5, 2004. The Company’s primary assets are general partner and limited partner interests in the Operating Partnership. This structure is commonly referred to as an umbrella partnership REIT (“UPREIT”). The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To the extent the Company continues to qualify as a REIT, it will not be subject to tax, with certain limited exceptions, on the taxable income that is distributed to its stockholders.

The Company invests in self-storage facilities by acquiring or developing wholly-owned facilities or by acquiring an equity interest in real estate entities. At September 30, 2011, the Company had direct and indirect equity interests in 676 operating storage facilities. In addition, the Company managed 178 properties for franchisees and third parties, bringing the total number of operating properties which it owns and/or manages to 854. These properties are located in 34 states and Washington, D.C.

The Company operates in three distinct segments: (1) property management, acquisition and development; (2) rental operations; and (3) tenant reinsurance. The Company’s property management, acquisition and development activities include managing, acquiring, developing and selling self-storage facilities. On June 2, 2009, the Company announced the wind-down of its development activities. As of September 30, 2011, there was one remaining development project in process. The Company expects to complete this project by the end of 2011. The rental operations activities include rental operations of self-storage facilities. No single tenant accounts for more than 5% of rental income. Tenant reinsurance activities include the reinsurance of risks relating to the loss of goods stored by tenants in the Company’s self storage facilities.

**2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are presented on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they may not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2011. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 has been derived from the Company’s audited financial statements as of that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**3. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES**

*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

The following table provides information for each major category of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Description	September 30, 2011	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Other liabilities - Cash Flow Hedge				
Swap Agreements	\$ (8,189)	\$ —	\$ (8,189)	\$ —

[Table of Contents](#)

The fair value of our derivatives is based on quoted market prices of similar instruments from various banking institutions or an independent third party provider for similar instruments. In determining the fair value, we consider our non-performance risk and that of our counterparties.

There were no transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company did not have any significant assets or liabilities that are re-measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

#### *Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis*

Long-lived assets held for use are evaluated for impairment when events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. The Company reviews each self-storage facility at least annually to determine if any such events or circumstances have occurred or exist. The Company focuses on facilities where occupancy and/or rental income have decreased by a significant amount. For these facilities, the Company determines whether the decrease is temporary or permanent and whether the facility will likely recover the lost occupancy and/or revenue in the short term. In addition, the Company carefully reviews facilities in the lease-up stage and compares actual operating results to original projections.

When the Company determines that an event that may indicate impairment has occurred, the Company compares the carrying value of the related long-lived assets to the undiscounted future net operating cash flows attributable to the assets. An impairment loss is recorded if the net carrying value of the assets exceeds the undiscounted future net operating cash flows attributable to the assets. The impairment loss recognized equals the excess of net carrying value over the related fair value of the assets.

When real estate assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the fair value of the assets, net of selling costs. If the estimated fair value, net of selling costs, of the assets that have been identified as held for sale is less than the net carrying value of the assets, then a valuation allowance is established. The operations of assets held for sale or sold during the period are generally presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

The Company assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of its investments in unconsolidated real estate ventures may be impaired annually and when events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. An investment is impaired if the Company's estimate of the fair value of the investment is less than its carrying value. To the extent impairment has occurred, and is considered to be other than temporary, the loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the investment.

In connection with the Company's acquisition of self-storage facilities, the purchase price is allocated to the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired based on their fair values, which are estimated using significant unobservable inputs. The value of the tangible assets, consisting of land and buildings, is determined as if vacant. Intangible assets, which represent the value of existing tenant relationships, are recorded at their fair values based on the avoided cost to replace the current leases. The Company measures the value of tenant relationships based on the rent lost due to the amount of time required to replace existing customers, which is based on the Company's historical experience with turnover in its facilities. Debt assumed as part of an acquisition is recorded at fair value based on current interest rates compared to contractual rates. Acquisition-related transaction costs are expensed as incurred.

#### *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, other financial instruments included in other assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses, variable rate notes payable, lines of credit and other liabilities reflected in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 approximate fair value. The fair values of the Company's notes receivable, fixed rate notes payable and notes payable to trusts and exchangeable senior notes are as follows:

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit holder	\$ 103,994	\$ 100,000	\$ 115,696	\$ 100,000
Fixed rate notes payable and notes payable to trusts	\$ 937,327	\$ 873,137	\$ 777,575	\$ 731,588
Exchangeable senior notes	\$ 91,555	\$ 87,663	\$ 118,975	\$ 87,663

[Table of Contents](#)

#### **4. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE**

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average common shares outstanding, including unvested share based payment awards that contain a non-forfeitable right to dividends or dividend equivalents. Diluted earnings per common share measures the performance of the Company over the reporting period while giving effect to all potential common shares that were dilutive and outstanding during the period. The denominator includes the weighted average number of basic shares and the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares that were dilutive had been issued and is calculated using either the treasury stock or if-converted method. Potential common shares are securities (such as options, convertible debt, exchangeable Series A Participating Redeemable Preferred Operating Partnership units ("Preferred OP units") and exchangeable Operating Partnership units ("OP units")) that do not have a current right to participate in earnings but could do so in the future by virtue of their option or conversion right. In computing the dilutive effect of convertible securities, net income is adjusted to add back any changes in earnings in the period associated with the convertible security. The numerator also is adjusted for the effects of any other non-discretionary changes in income or loss that would result from the assumed conversion of those potential common shares. In computing diluted earnings per share, only potential common shares that are dilutive, those that reduce earnings per share, are included.

The Company's Operating Partnership had \$87,663 of exchangeable senior notes issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2011 that also can potentially have a dilutive effect on its earnings per share calculations. The exchangeable senior notes are exchangeable by holders into shares of the Company's common stock under certain circumstances per the terms of the indenture governing the exchangeable senior notes. The exchangeable senior notes are not exchangeable unless the price of the Company's common stock is greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable exchange price for a specified period during a quarter, or unless certain other events occur. The exchange price was \$23.45 per share at September 30, 2011, and could change over time as described in the indenture. The price of the Company's common stock did not exceed 130% of the exchange price for the specified period of time during the third quarter of 2011; therefore holders of the exchangeable senior notes may not elect to convert them during the fourth quarter of 2011.

The Company has irrevocably agreed to pay only cash for the accreted principal amount of the exchangeable senior notes relative to its exchange obligations, but has retained the right to satisfy the exchange obligations in excess of the accreted principal amount in cash and/or common stock. Though the Company has retained that right, Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 260, "Earnings Per Share," requires an assumption that shares will be used to pay the exchange obligations in excess of the accreted principal amount, and requires that those shares be included in the Company's calculation of weighted average common shares outstanding for the diluted earnings per share computation. No shares related to the exchangeable senior notes were included in the computations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 or 2010 because there was no excess over the accreted principal for these periods.

For the purposes of computing the diluted impact on earnings per share of the potential conversion of Preferred OP units into common shares, where the Company has the option to redeem in cash or shares and where the Company has stated the positive intent and ability to settle at least \$115,000 of the instrument in cash (or net settle a portion of the Preferred OP units against the related outstanding note receivable), only the amount of the instrument in excess of \$115,000 is considered in the calculation of shares contingently issuable for the purposes of computing diluted earnings per share as allowed by ASC 260-10-45-46.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, options to purchase 120,634 and 1,161,799 shares of common stock, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, 106,726 and 2,187,449 shares of common stock, respectively, were excluded from the computation of earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. All restricted stock grants have been included in basic and diluted shares outstanding because such shares earn a non-forfeitable dividend and carry voting rights.

## Table of Contents

The computation of net income per common share is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 15,261	\$ 7,667	\$ 34,171	\$ 17,415
Income allocated to noncontrolling interest - Preferred Operating Partnership and Operating Partnership	2,092	1,827	5,846	5,217
Fixed component of income allocated to noncontrolling interest - Preferred Operating Partnership	(1,438)	(1,438)	(4,313)	(4,313)
Net income for diluted computations	\$ 15,915	\$ 8,056	\$ 35,704	\$ 18,319
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Average number of common shares outstanding - basic	94,314,429	87,484,731	91,277,261	87,244,161
Operating Partnership units	3,049,935	3,356,963	3,049,935	3,356,963
Preferred Operating Partnership units	989,980	989,980	989,980	989,980
Dilutive and cancelled stock options	513,459	358,178	549,114	378,765
Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted	98,867,803	92,189,852	95,866,290	91,969,869
Net income per common share				
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.20
Diluted	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.20

## 5. PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS

The following table summarizes the Company's acquisitions of operating properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and does not include improvements made to existing assets:

Property Location	Number of Properties	Date of Acquisition	Consideration Paid			Net Liabilities/ (Assets) Assumed	Acquisition Date Fair Value				Source of Acquisition
			Total Paid	Cash Paid	Loan Assumed		Land	Building	Intangible	Closing costs - expensed	
Utah, Texas	2	4/1/2011	\$ 7,262	\$ 7,205	\$ —	\$ 57	\$ 1,512	\$ 5,548	\$ 188	\$ 14	Affiliated joint venture
California	1	4/7/2011	8,207	8,150	—	57	2,211	5,829	163	4	Unrelated third party
Tennessee	1	4/15/2011	2,539	2,514	—	25	652	1,791	79	17	Unrelated third party
Colorado	1	5/25/2011	3,540	2,262	1,290	(12)	407	3,077	61	(5)	Unrelated third party
Virginia	1	5/26/2011	10,514	5,205	5,463	(154)	932	9,349	202	31	Unrelated third party
New Jersey	1	6/2/2011	4,963	4,959	—	4	1,644	3,115	135	69	Affiliated joint venture
Colorado	1	6/10/2011	4,600	2,664	1,907	29	296	4,199	98	7	Unrelated third party
Nevada	1	6/22/2011	3,355	3,339	—	16	1,441	1,810	98	6	Unrelated third party
Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky	15	6/27/2011	39,773	39,387	—	386	13,587	24,991	903	292	Unrelated third party
Maryland	1	7/8/2011	5,785	5,795	—	(10)	1,303	4,218	125	139	Unrelated third party
Maryland	1	8/1/2011	7,352	7,342	—	10	764	6,340	143	105	Unrelated third party
Texas	1	8/2/2011	2,402	2,353	—	49	979	1,346	73	4	Unrelated third party

On January 1, 2011, the Company paid \$320 in cash to obtain its joint venture partners' equity interests in a joint venture. No gain or loss was recognized on this transaction. The joint venture owned a single stabilized self-storage property located in Pennsylvania and was previously accounted for under the equity method. This property is now wholly owned and consolidated by the Company.

## 6. RECEIVABLES FROM RELATED PARTIES AND AFFILIATED REAL ESTATE JOINT VENTURES



During September 2011, the Company purchased a note receivable from Bank of America for \$51,000. The receivable is due from Storage Associates Holdco, LLC, a joint venture in which the Company holds a 10% equity interest. The note is due March 2012 and has a monthly interest rate of LIBOR plus 185 basis points. The Company has eliminated the interest income related to its 10% ownership interest.

[Table of Contents](#)

**7. VARIABLE INTERESTS**

The Company has interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures with unrelated third parties which are variable interest entities (“VIEs” or the “VIE JVs”). The Company holds 10% to 39% of the equity interests in the VIE JVs, and has 50% of the voting rights in each of the VIE JVs. Qualification as a VIE was based on the determination that the equity investments at risk for each of these joint ventures were not sufficient based on a qualitative and quantitative analysis performed by the Company. The Company performed a qualitative analysis for these joint ventures to determine which party was the primary beneficiary of each VIE. The Company determined that since the powers to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of these entities are shared equally by the Company and its joint venture partners, there is no primary beneficiary. Accordingly, these interests are recorded using the equity method.

Two of the VIE JVs each own a single self-storage property. The third VIE JV owns six self-storage properties. These joint ventures are financed through a combination of (1) equity contributions from the Company and its joint venture partners, (2) mortgage notes payable and (3) payables to the Company. The payables to the Company consist of amounts owed for expenses paid on behalf of the joint ventures by the Company as manager and mortgage notes payable to the Company. The Company performs management services for the VIE JVs in exchange for a management fee of approximately 6% of cash collected by the properties. Except as disclosed, the Company has not provided financial or other support during the periods presented to the VIE JVs that it was not previously contractually obligated to provide.

The Company guarantees the mortgage notes payable for two of the VIE JVs. The Company’s maximum exposure to loss for these joint ventures as of September 30, 2011 is the total of the guaranteed loan balances, the payables due to the Company and the Company’s investment balances in the joint ventures. The Company believes that the risk of incurring a material loss as a result of having to perform on the loan guarantees is unlikely and, therefore, no liability has been recorded related to these guarantees. Also, repossessing and/or selling the self-storage facility and land that collateralize the loans could provide funds sufficient to reimburse the Company. Additionally, the Company believes the payables to the Company are collectible.

The following table compares the Company’s liability balance to the respective VIE JVs and the maximum exposure to loss related to the VIE JVs as of September 30, 2011 after netting such liability balance:

	<u>Liability Balance</u>	<u>Investment Balance</u>	<u>Balance of Guaranteed Loan</u>	<u>Payables to Company</u>	<u>Maximum Exposure to Loss</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Extra Space of Montrose Avenue LLC	\$ —	\$ 1,202	\$ 4,838	\$ 2,478	\$ 8,518	\$ (8,518)
Extra Space of Sacramento One LLC	—	(893)	4,307	6,099	9,513	(9,513)
Storage Associates Holdco, LLC	—	1,817	—	53,976	55,793	(55,793)
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,126</u>	<u>\$ 9,145</u>	<u>\$ 62,553</u>	<u>\$ 73,824</u>	<u>\$ (73,824)</u>

Included in payables to Company for Storage Associates Holdco, LLC is a first mortgage secured by the six self-storage properties which was purchased by the Company from the bank lender in September 2011. The note payable is due March 2012 and pays interest monthly at LIBOR plus 185 basis points.

The Operating Partnership has three wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiaries (“Trust,” “Trust II,” and “Trust III,” together, the “Trusts”) that have issued trust preferred securities to third parties and common securities to the Operating Partnership. The proceeds from the sale of the preferred and common securities were loaned in the form of notes to the Operating Partnership. The Trusts are VIEs because the holders of the equity investment at risk (the trust preferred securities) do not have the power to direct the activities of the entities that most significantly affect the entities’ economic performance because of their lack of voting or similar rights. Because the Operating Partnership’s investment in the Trusts’ common securities was financed directly by the Trusts as a result of its loan of the proceeds to the Operating Partnership, that investment is not considered to be an equity investment at risk. The Operating Partnership’s investment in the Trusts is not a variable interest because equity interests are variable interests only to the extent that the investment is considered to be at risk, and therefore the Operating Partnership cannot be the primary beneficiary of the Trusts. Since the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the Trusts, they have not been consolidated. A debt obligation has been recorded in the form of notes for the proceeds as discussed above, which are owed to the Trusts. The Company has also included its investment in the Trusts’ common securities in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Company has not provided financing or other support during the periods presented to the Trusts that it was not previously contractually obligated to provide. The Company’s maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the Trusts is equal to the total amount of the notes discussed above less the amounts of the Company’s investments in the Trusts’ common securities. The net amount is the notes payable that the Trusts owe to third parties for their investments in the Trusts’ preferred securities.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following is a tabular comparison of the liabilities the Company has recorded as a result of its involvement with the Trusts to the maximum exposure to loss the Company is subject to as a result of such involvement as of September 30, 2011:

	<u>Notes payable to Trusts</u>	<u>Investment Balance</u>	<u>Maximum exposure to loss</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Trust	\$ 36,083	\$ 1,083	\$ 35,000	\$ —
Trust II	42,269	1,269	41,000	—

Trust III	41,238	1,238	40,000	—
	<u>\$ 119,590</u>	<u>\$ 3,590</u>	<u>\$ 116,000</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The Company had no consolidated VIEs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010.

## 8. DERIVATIVES

GAAP requires the recognition of all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. A company must designate each qualifying hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge, or a hedge of a net investment in foreign operations.

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations. The primary risk managed by using derivative instruments is interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps are entered into to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's fixed and variable-rate borrowings. The Company designates certain interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of variable-rate borrowings and the remainder as fair value hedges of fixed-rate borrowings.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in the statement of operations. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income, outside of earnings, and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings.

The following table summarizes the terms of the Company's derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2011:

Hedge Product	Hedge Type	Notional Amounts	Strike	Effective Dates	Maturity Dates
Cash Flow Hedge Swap Agreements	Cash Flow	\$8,462 - \$63,000	4.24% - 6.98%	2/1/2009 - 7/1/2010	6/30/2013 - 6/27/2016

Monthly interest payments were recognized as an increase or decrease in interest expense as follows:

Type	Classification of Income (Expense)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Cash Flow Hedge Swap Agreements	Interest expense	\$ (940)	\$ (1,196)	\$ (2,954)	\$ (2,469)

Information relating to the gains recognized on the interest rate swap agreements is as follows:

Type	Gain (loss) recognized in OCI September 30, 2011	Location of amounts reclassified from OCI into income	Gain (loss) reclassified from OCI Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
Cash Flow Hedge Swap Agreements	\$ (2,115)	Interest expense	\$ (2,954)

The interest rate swap agreements were highly effective for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The gain (loss) reclassified from other comprehensive income ("OCI") in the preceding table represents the effective portion of the Company's cash flow hedges reclassified from OCI to interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The balance sheet classification and carrying amounts of the derivative instruments are as follows:

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	Asset (Liability) Derivatives			
	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Cash Flow Hedge Swap Agreements	Other liabilities	\$ (8,189)	Other liabilities	\$ (6,074)

## 9. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST REPRESENTED BY PREFERRED OPERATING PARTNERSHIP UNITS

On June 15, 2007, the Operating Partnership entered into a Contribution Agreement with various limited partnerships affiliated with AAAA Rent-A-Space to acquire ten self-storage facilities (the "Properties") in exchange for 989,980 Preferred OP units of the Operating Partnership. The self-storage facilities are located in California and Hawaii.

On June 25, 2007, the Operating Partnership loaned the holders of the Preferred OP units \$100,000. The note receivable bears interest at 4.85% and is due September 1, 2017. The loan is secured by the borrower's Preferred OP units. The holders of the Preferred OP units can convert up to 114,500 Preferred OP units prior to the maturity date of the loan. If any redemption in excess of 114,500 Preferred OP units occurs prior to the maturity date, the holder of the Preferred OP units is required to repay the loan as of the date of that Preferred OP unit redemption. Preferred OP units are shown on the balance sheet net of the \$100,000 loan because the borrower under the loan receivable is also the holder of the Preferred OP units.

The Operating Partnership entered into a Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (as subsequently amended, the "Partnership Agreement") which provides for the designation and issuance of the Preferred OP units. The Preferred OP units will have priority over all other partnership interests of the Operating Partnership with respect to distributions and liquidation.

Under the Partnership Agreement, Preferred OP units in the amount of \$115,000 bear a fixed priority return of 5% and have a fixed liquidation value of \$115,000. The remaining balance participates in distributions with, and has a liquidation value equal to, that of the common OP units. The Preferred OP units became redeemable at the option of the holder on September 1, 2008, which redemption obligation may be satisfied, at the Company's option, in cash or shares of its common stock.

GAAP requires a company to present ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company in the consolidated financial statements within the equity section, but separate from the company's equity. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations and requires changes in ownership interest to be accounted for similarly as equity transactions. If noncontrolling interests are determined to be redeemable, they are to be carried at their redemption value as of the balance sheet date and reported as temporary equity.

The Company has evaluated the terms of the Preferred OP units and classifies the noncontrolling interest represented by the Preferred OP units as stockholders' equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company will periodically evaluate individual noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling amount as permanent equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Any noncontrolling interests that fail to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value as of the end of the period in which the determination is made.

## **10. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP**

The Company's interest in its properties is held through the Operating Partnership. ESS Holding Business Trust I, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Company, through ESS Holding Business Trust II, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is also a limited partner of the Operating Partnership. Between its general partner and limited partner interests, the Company held a 95.9% majority ownership interest therein as of September 30, 2011. The remaining ownership interests in the Operating Partnership (including Preferred OP units) of 4.1% are held by certain former owners of assets acquired by the Operating Partnership. As of September 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership had 3,049,935 common OP units outstanding.

The noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership represents common OP units that are not owned by the Company. In conjunction with the formation of the Company and as a result of subsequent acquisitions, certain persons and entities contributing interests in properties to the Operating Partnership received limited partnership units in the form of OP units. Limited partners who received OP units in the formation transactions or in exchange for contributions for interests in properties have the right to require the

### [Table of Contents](#)

Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their common OP units for cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of the Company's common stock (ten-day average) at the time of the redemption. Alternatively, the Company may, at its option, elect to acquire those OP units in exchange for shares of its common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to anti-dilution adjustments provided in the Partnership Agreement. The ten-day average closing stock price at September 30, 2011 was \$19.70 and there were 3,049,935 common OP units outstanding. Assuming that all of the unit holders exercised their right to redeem all of their common OP units on September 30, 2011 and the Company elected to pay the noncontrolling members cash, the Company would have paid \$60,084 in cash consideration to redeem the OP units.

GAAP requires a company to present ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company in the consolidated financial statements within the equity section, but separate from the company's equity. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations and requires changes in ownership interest to be accounted for similarly as equity transactions. If noncontrolling interests are determined to be redeemable, they are to be carried at their redemption value as of the balance sheet date and reported as temporary equity.

The Company has evaluated the terms of the common OP units and classifies the noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership as stockholders' equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Company will periodically evaluate individual noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling amount as permanent equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Any noncontrolling interests that fail to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value as of the end of the period in which the determination is made.

## **11. OTHER NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS**

Other noncontrolling interests represent the ownership interests of various third parties in three consolidated self-storage properties as of September 30, 2011. Two of these consolidated properties were under development, and one was in the lease-up stage at September 30, 2011. The ownership interests of the third-party owners range from 5.0% to 27.6%. Other noncontrolling interests are included in the stockholders' equity section of the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet. The income or losses attributable to these third-party owners based on their ownership percentages are reflected in net income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling interests in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

## **12. STOCK OFFERING**

In May 2011, the Company closed a public stock offering of 5,335,423 shares of its common stock at an offering price of \$21.16 per share. The Company received gross proceeds of \$112,898. Transaction costs were \$546 for net proceeds of \$112,352.

## **13. LOSS ON SUBLEASE**

During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, a \$2,000 charge was recorded as a result of the bankruptcy of a tenant subleasing office space from the Company in Memphis, Tennessee. The Memphis, Tennessee office lease is a liability that was assumed in the Storage USA acquisition in July 2005. The increase in this lease obligation liability was recognized through a \$2,000 charge, which is included in loss on sublease in the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

### [Table of Contents](#)

## **14. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company operates in three distinct segments: (1) property management, acquisition and development; (2) rental operations; and (3) tenant reinsurance. Financial information for the Company's business segments is set forth below:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>Balance Sheet</b>		
Investment in real estate ventures		
Rental operations	\$ 134,219	\$ 140,560
<b>Total assets</b>		
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 395,972	\$ 400,910
Rental operations	1,957,662	1,831,150
Tenant reinsurance	20,594	16,408
	<u>\$ 2,374,228</u>	<u>\$ 2,248,468</u>

17

[Table of Contents](#)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Statement of Operations</b>				
Total revenues				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 6,353	\$ 5,851	\$ 18,464	\$ 17,056
Rental operations	69,475	59,332	195,265	172,261
Tenant reinsurance	8,269	6,796	22,889	19,026
	<u>\$ 84,097</u>	<u>\$ 71,979</u>	<u>\$ 236,618</u>	<u>\$ 208,343</u>
Operating expenses, including depreciation and amortization				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 13,237	\$ 13,378	\$ 40,773	\$ 36,800
Rental operations	38,049	33,304	110,155	99,897
Tenant reinsurance	1,596	1,736	4,593	4,416
	<u>\$ 52,882</u>	<u>\$ 48,418</u>	<u>\$ 155,521</u>	<u>\$ 141,113</u>
Income (loss) from operations				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ (6,884)	\$ (7,527)	\$ (22,309)	\$ (19,744)
Rental operations	31,426	26,028	85,110	72,364
Tenant reinsurance	6,673	5,060	18,296	14,610
	<u>\$ 31,215</u>	<u>\$ 23,561</u>	<u>\$ 81,097</u>	<u>\$ 67,230</u>
Interest expense				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ (926)	\$ (830)	\$ (2,531)	\$ (2,407)
Rental operations	(16,270)	(15,288)	(48,208)	(48,038)
	<u>\$ (17,196)</u>	<u>\$ (16,118)</u>	<u>\$ (50,739)</u>	<u>\$ (50,445)</u>
Interest income				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 182	\$ 175	\$ 548	\$ 706
Tenant reinsurance	3	3	8	8
	<u>\$ 185</u>	<u>\$ 178</u>	<u>\$ 556</u>	<u>\$ 714</u>
Interest income on note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit holder				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 1,213	\$ 1,213	\$ 3,638	\$ 3,638
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures				
Rental operations	\$ 1,873	\$ 1,736	\$ 6,060	\$ 4,796
Income tax expense				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 2,570	\$ —	\$ 6,330	\$ —
Rental operations	(169)	—	(527)	—
Tenant reinsurance	(2,339)	(1,088)	(6,406)	(3,347)
	<u>\$ 62</u>	<u>\$ (1,088)</u>	<u>\$ (603)</u>	<u>\$ (3,347)</u>
Net income (loss)				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ (3,845)	\$ (6,969)	\$ (14,324)	\$ (17,807)
Rental operations	16,860	12,476	42,435	29,122
Tenant reinsurance	4,337	3,975	11,898	11,271
	<u>\$ 17,352</u>	<u>\$ 9,482</u>	<u>\$ 40,009</u>	<u>\$ 22,586</u>
<b>Depreciation and amortization expense</b>				
Property management, acquisition and development	\$ 585	\$ 549	\$ 2,212	\$ 1,474
Rental operations	13,779	11,970	39,829	35,666
	<u>\$ 14,364</u>	<u>\$ 12,519</u>	<u>\$ 42,041</u>	<u>\$ 37,140</u>

## Statement of Cash Flows

### Acquisition of real estate assets

Property management, acquisition and development	\$ (108,403)	\$ (24,648)
--	--------------	-------------

### Development and construction of real estate assets

Property management, acquisition and development	\$ (6,315)	\$ (28,523)
--	------------	-------------

## [Table of Contents](#)

### 15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has guaranteed loans for unconsolidated joint ventures as follows:

	Date of Guaranty	Loan Maturity Date	Guaranteed Loan Amount	Estimated Fair Market Value of Assets
Extra Space of Montrose Avenue LLC	Dec-10	Dec-13	\$ 4,838	\$ 8,512
Extra Space of Sacramento One LLC	Apr-09	Apr-14	\$ 4,307	\$ 9,805
ESS Baltimore LLC	Nov-04	Feb-13	\$ 4,052	\$ 6,700

If the joint ventures default on the loans, the Company may be forced to repay the loans. Repossessing and/or selling the self-storage facilities and land that collateralizes the loans could provide funds sufficient to reimburse the Company. The Company has recorded no liability in relation to these guarantees as of September 30, 2011, as the fair value of the guarantees was not material. The Company believes the risk of incurring a material loss as a result of having to perform on these guarantees is unlikely.

The Company has been involved in routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of September 30, 2011, the Company was not involved in any material litigation nor, to its knowledge, was any material litigation threatened against it which, in the opinion of management, is expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

### 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 6, 2011, the Company purchased one property located in New Jersey for \$18,350.

On October 19, 2011, the Company purchased a portfolio of 19 properties located in California for \$99,150. In connection with this acquisition, the Company assumed \$68,681 in debt.

On October 25, 2011, the Company purchased one property located in Florida for \$5,700.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### Extra Space Storage Inc.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### Amounts in thousands, except property and share data

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our "Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" and the "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" appearing elsewhere in this report and the "Consolidated Financial Statements," "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. We make statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this Form 10-Q entitled "Statement on Forward-Looking Information." (Amounts in thousands except property and share data unless otherwise stated).

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. Our notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report and the audited financial statements contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 describe the significant accounting policies essential to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Preparation of our financial statements requires estimates, judgments and assumptions. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions that we have used are appropriate and correct based on information available at the time that they were made. These estimates, judgments and assumptions can affect our reported assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported revenues and expenses during the period presented. If there are material differences between these estimates, judgments and assumptions and actual facts, our financial statements may be affected.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require our judgment in its application. There are areas in which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result, but there are some areas in

which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would produce a materially different result. See the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements that contain additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures.

## OVERVIEW

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT, formed to continue the business commenced in 1977 by our predecessor companies to own, operate, manage, acquire, develop and redevelop professionally managed self-storage properties. We derive our revenues from rents received from tenants under existing leases at each of our self-storage properties, management fees on the properties we manage for joint venture partners, franchisees and unaffiliated third parties and our tenant reinsurance program. Our management fee is equal to approximately 6% of total revenues generated by the managed properties.

We operate in competitive markets, often where consumers have multiple self-storage properties from which to choose. Competition has impacted, and will continue to impact, our property results. We experience seasonal fluctuations in occupancy levels, with occupancy levels generally higher in the summer months due to increased moving activity. Our operating results depend materially on our ability to lease available self-storage units, to actively manage rental rates, and on the ability of our tenants to make required rental payments. We believe we are able to respond quickly and effectively to changes in local, regional and national economic conditions by centrally adjusting rental rates through the combination of our revenue management team and our industry-leading technology systems.

We continue to evaluate a range of new initiatives and opportunities in order to enable us to maximize stockholder value. Our strategies to maximize stockholder value include the following:

- *Maximize the performance of properties through strategic, efficient and proactive management.* We pursue revenue generating and expense minimizing opportunities in our operations. Our revenue management team seeks to maximize revenue by responding to changing market conditions through our technology system's ability to provide real-time, interactive rental rate and discount management. Our size allows greater ability than the majority of our competitors to

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

implement national, regional and local marketing programs, which we believe will attract more customers to our stores at a lower net cost.

- *Acquire self-storage properties from strategic partners and third parties.* Our acquisitions team continues to pursue the acquisition of single properties and multi-property portfolios that we believe can provide stockholder value. We have established a reputation as a reliable, ethical buyer, which we believe enhances our ability to negotiate and close acquisitions. In addition, we believe our status as an UPREIT enables flexibility when structuring deals.
- *Expand our management business.* Our management business enables us to generate increased revenues through management fees and expand our geographic footprint. This expanded footprint enables us to reduce our operating costs through economies of scale. In addition, we see our management business as a future acquisition pipeline. We pursue strategic relationships with owners that strengthen our acquisition pipeline through agreements that typically give us first right of refusal to purchase the managed property in the event of a potential sale.

U.S. and international market and economic conditions have been challenging with tighter credit conditions and slower growth. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, concerns about the systemic impact of inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, and other macro-economic factors have contributed to market volatility and diminished expectations for the global economy. Turbulence in U.S. and international markets and economies may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and the financial condition of our customers. If these market conditions continue, they may result in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## PROPERTIES

As of September 30, 2011, we owned or had ownership interests in 676 operating self-storage properties. Of these properties, 328 are wholly-owned and 348 are held in joint ventures. In addition, we managed an additional 178 properties for franchisees or third parties bringing the total number of operating properties that we own and/or manage to 854. These properties are located in 34 states and Washington, D.C. As of September 30, 2011, we owned and/or managed approximately 62 million square feet of space with approximately 570,000 units.

Our properties are generally situated in convenient, highly visible locations clustered around large population centers such as Atlanta, Baltimore/Washington, D.C., Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Miami, New York City, Orlando, Philadelphia, Phoenix, St. Petersburg/Tampa and San Francisco/Oakland. These areas all enjoy above-average population growth and income levels. The clustering of assets around these population centers enables us to reduce our operating costs through economies of scale.

We consider a property to be in the lease-up stage after it has been issued a certificate of occupancy, but before it has achieved stabilization. We consider a property to be stabilized once it has achieved either an 80% occupancy rate for a full year measured as of January 1, or has been open for three years. Although leases are short-term in duration, the typical tenant tends to remain at our properties for an extended period of time. For properties that were stabilized as of September 30, 2011, the median length of stay was approximately 13 months. The average annual rent per square foot at these stabilized properties was \$13.50 at September 30, 2011, compared to \$13.45 at September 30, 2010.

Our property portfolio is made up of different types of construction and building configurations depending on the site and the municipality where it is located. Most often sites are what we consider "hybrid" facilities, a mix of both drive-up buildings and multi-floor buildings. We have a number of multi-floor buildings with elevator access only, and a number of facilities featuring ground-floor access only.

The following table sets forth additional information regarding the occupancy of our stabilized properties on a state-by-state basis as of September 30, 2011 and 2010. The information as of September 30, 2010 is on a pro forma basis as though all the properties owned and/or managed at September 30, 2011 were under our control as of September 30, 2010.

### Stabilized Property Data Based on Location

Location	Number of Properties	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma
		Number of Units as of September 30, 2011 (1)	Number of Units as of September 30, 2010	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2011 (2)	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2010	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2011	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2010
<b>Wholly-owned properties</b>							
Alabama	3	1,396	1,368	172,804	173,779	79.0%	79.2%
Arizona	5	2,789	2,802	356,520	347,098	87.1%	86.3%
California	46	36,030	36,201	3,570,836	3,576,439	88.3%	83.4%
Colorado	10	4,520	4,497	569,886	569,514	90.9%	89.2%
Connecticut	3	1,975	2,011	178,050	178,010	89.2%	86.8%
Florida	28	18,185	18,263	1,943,341	1,945,179	87.6%	84.4%
Georgia	12	6,423	6,425	836,868	837,173	87.5%	85.6%
Hawaii	2	2,796	2,828	138,084	145,841	86.4%	80.9%
Illinois	7	4,498	4,502	464,809	467,119	85.2%	81.4%
Indiana	8	4,362	4,382	510,459	511,034	86.9%	84.0%
Kansas	1	506	507	50,340	50,310	91.2%	90.0%
Kentucky	4	2,152	2,160	253,991	254,041	89.5%	89.2%
Louisiana	2	1,413	1,412	150,165	150,035	88.7%	85.8%
Maryland	14	10,481	10,490	1,139,881	1,140,071	90.4%	88.0%
Massachusetts	28	16,722	16,724	1,716,857	1,717,716	90.6%	85.5%
Michigan	2	1,020	1,017	134,674	134,954	90.4%	89.8%
Missouri	6	3,158	3,145	374,987	374,897	89.2%	88.3%
Nevada	2	978	978	129,590	129,440	68.6%	69.7%
New Hampshire	2	1,007	1,007	125,473	125,473	89.6%	85.9%
New Jersey	25	20,099	20,108	1,966,065	1,972,816	90.3%	87.1%
New Mexico	1	536	539	71,635	71,475	94.9%	93.6%
New York	11	9,214	9,243	712,776	713,131	89.6%	85.5%
Ohio	14	8,472	8,470	991,604	994,464	81.1%	80.8%
Oregon	1	770	770	103,090	103,210	93.5%	89.5%
Pennsylvania	9	5,773	5,798	655,545	656,205	90.3%	87.9%
Rhode Island	1	715	719	74,836	75,976	84.7%	85.4%
South Carolina	4	2,151	2,173	253,396	253,406	94.0%	90.4%
Tennessee	3	1,612	1,621	214,260	215,265	86.4%	82.2%
Texas	18	11,459	11,525	1,330,771	1,330,712	88.2%	86.5%
Utah	7	3,189	3,195	408,607	409,163	87.9%	86.3%
Virginia	6	4,293	4,298	416,532	416,552	89.8%	86.5%
Washington	4	2,529	2,543	308,015	308,015	84.2%	74.4%
<b>Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>191,223</b>	<b>191,721</b>	<b>20,324,747</b>	<b>20,348,513</b>	<b>88.3%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>

### Table of Contents

Location	Number of Properties	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma
		Number of Units as of September 30, 2011 (1)	Number of Units as of September 30, 2010	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2011 (2)	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2010	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2011	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2010
<b>Joint-venture properties</b>							
Alabama	3	1,707	1,705	205,713	205,588	89.0%	85.7%
Arizona	10	6,396	6,412	729,254	713,770	87.5%	83.4%
California	81	58,579	58,707	6,045,190	6,021,564	88.8%	85.4%
Colorado	2	1,314	1,318	158,743	158,543	89.1%	85.7%
Connecticut	8	5,988	5,987	692,648	692,686	89.2%	84.3%
Delaware	1	585	583	71,680	71,735	88.7%	88.2%
Florida	25	20,415	20,577	2,065,251	2,075,938	85.6%	83.5%
Georgia	3	1,848	1,851	240,541	241,341	84.0%	78.8%
Illinois	9	6,456	6,452	692,866	693,623	89.2%	84.7%
Indiana	6	2,416	2,414	301,466	315,411	89.5%	88.6%
Kansas	2	838	836	108,905	108,905	84.2%	83.1%
Kentucky	4	2,279	2,277	269,545	269,629	90.3%	87.4%
Maryland	15	11,843	11,849	1,159,534	1,157,187	90.6%	89.8%
Massachusetts	16	8,619	8,630	970,918	972,867	88.8%	84.0%
Michigan	9	5,444	5,455	730,108	731,328	90.3%	86.9%
Missouri	1	530	531	61,275	61,075	91.2%	89.3%
Nevada	8	5,320	5,378	692,983	692,743	83.7%	84.3%
New Hampshire	3	1,311	1,314	137,474	137,914	87.8%	86.7%
New Jersey	20	14,876	14,904	1,559,481	1,562,651	89.6%	85.9%
New Mexico	9	4,643	4,672	542,381	542,414	87.0%	85.6%
New York	21	21,632	21,633	1,715,952	1,734,899	90.8%	87.9%
Ohio	13	5,850	5,857	866,960	872,430	87.6%	82.8%
Oregon	2	1,291	1,293	136,600	136,770	92.0%	90.4%
Pennsylvania	10	7,994	8,002	797,230	800,411	91.6%	88.8%
Rhode Island	1	473	481	56,255	56,015	76.5%	72.9%
Tennessee	23	12,542	12,588	1,669,853	1,670,319	87.6%	84.6%
Texas	19	11,742	11,764	1,534,459	1,535,059	87.9%	86.1%
Virginia	17	12,020	12,013	1,268,383	1,267,738	91.5%	88.2%
Washington	1	548	548	62,730	62,730	85.4%	83.5%
Washington, DC	1	1,529	1,533	101,989	102,003	92.0%	92.5%
<b>Total Stabilized Joint-Ventures</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>237,028</b>	<b>237,564</b>	<b>25,646,367</b>	<b>25,665,286</b>	<b>88.7%</b>	<b>85.7%</b>

<b>Managed properties</b>							
Arizona	1	580	581	67,350	67,350	55.7%	34.0%
California	31	21,384	21,452	2,599,130	2,593,630	70.6%	68.4%
Colorado	5	2,047	2,043	229,575	229,355	71.3%	70.9%
Connecticut	1	496	496	61,120	61,120	69.0%	69.0%
Florida	15	7,165	7,196	885,909	865,039	77.2%	72.3%
Georgia	1	930	931	107,710	105,900	79.9%	74.1%
Hawaii	3	3,512	3,512	201,632	201,632	59.9%	59.9%
Illinois	6	3,657	3,717	382,803	396,739	77.8%	73.5%
Indiana	3	1,686	1,711	183,179	183,489	76.9%	74.0%
Kansas	4	1,975	1,974	334,750	335,710	81.0%	79.5%
Kentucky	1	522	523	66,100	66,000	92.1%	86.6%
Louisiana	1	1,012	1,012	134,995	134,995	68.9%	68.9%
Maryland	12	7,402	7,516	853,647	855,823	84.1%	79.9%
Massachusetts	3	3,107	3,129	274,213	274,518	61.1%	56.0%
Missouri	3	1,522	1,529	274,298	302,558	78.0%	76.4%
Nevada	2	1,566	1,576	170,375	170,375	80.4%	82.3%
New Jersey	5	3,886	3,888	356,887	356,595	85.5%	79.5%
New Mexico	2	1,105	1,107	132,262	132,282	93.1%	90.4%
North Carolina	5	3,531	3,599	375,405	378,147	78.6%	73.5%

Ohio	4	1,062	1,074	156,360	158,160	73.3%	68.4%
Pennsylvania	18	7,371	7,418	868,515	903,930	82.1%	70.1%
South Carolina	2	1,167	1,174	163,292	161,737	79.3%	72.4%
Tennessee	3	1,496	1,496	205,225	205,365	87.7%	87.0%
Texas	7	3,542	3,552	457,704	458,292	83.3%	83.0%
Virginia	2	1,303	1,303	114,316	114,316	87.6%	89.5%
Washington	1	464	464	56,590	56,590	78.9%	78.9%
Washington, DC	2	1,263	1,263	112,459	112,459	91.3%	90.9%
<b>Total Stabilized Managed Properties</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>84,753</b>	<b>85,236</b>	<b>9,825,801</b>	<b>9,882,106</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>73.1%</b>
<b>Total Stabilized Properties</b>	<b>775</b>	<b>513,004</b>	<b>514,521</b>	<b>55,796,915</b>	<b>55,895,905</b>	<b>86.5%</b>	<b>83.3%</b>

23

## [Table of Contents](#)

(1) Represents unit count as of September 30, 2011, which may differ from September 30, 2010 unit count due to unit conversions or expansions.

(2) Represents net rentable square feet as of September 30, 2011, which may differ from September 30, 2010 net rentable square feet due to unit conversions or expansions.

The following table sets forth additional information regarding the occupancy of our lease-up properties on a state-by-state basis as of September 30, 2011 and 2010. The information as of September 30, 2010 is on a pro forma basis as though all the properties owned and/or managed at September 30, 2011 were under our control as of September 30, 2010.

### Lease-up Property Data Based on Location

Location	Number of Properties	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma	Company	Pro forma
		Number of Units as of September 30, 2011 (1)	Number of Units as of September 30, 2010	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2011 (2)	Net Rentable Square Feet as of September 30, 2010	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2011	Square Foot Occupancy % September 30, 2010
<b>Wholly-owned properties</b>							
Arizona	1	636	—	71,355	—	28.3%	0.0%
California	13	9,234	8,581	1,018,121	933,536	72.5%	47.4%
Florida	8	6,562	4,998	644,190	489,135	50.7%	28.8%
Georgia	4	1,981	1,999	251,566	253,118	78.9%	67.7%
Illinois	2	1,369	1,407	151,020	151,020	72.1%	53.9%
Maryland	3	2,448	1,631	241,895	156,870	51.9%	34.3%
Massachusetts	1	615	605	74,025	74,295	65.9%	58.9%
New Jersey	2	1,237	1,268	126,510	127,155	77.2%	54.9%
New York	1	664	674	42,486	42,563	78.2%	58.1%
Oregon	1	718	744	75,950	75,970	76.5%	37.8%
Tennessee	1	505	505	68,750	69,550	68.5%	72.4%
Texas	2	1,056	1,056	152,760	152,760	68.0%	68.0%
<b>Total Wholly-Owned Lease up</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>27,025</b>	<b>23,468</b>	<b>2,918,628</b>	<b>2,525,972</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>48.0%</b>
<b>Joint-venture properties</b>							
California	3	2,380	2,350	216,218	216,568	78.2%	51.3%
Illinois	2	1,307	1,206	131,418	120,616	70.8%	61.1%
<b>Total Lease up Joint-Ventures</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3,687</b>	<b>3,556</b>	<b>347,636</b>	<b>337,184</b>	<b>75.4%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>
<b>Managed properties</b>							
California	2	1,742	1,739	236,369	236,239	70.7%	63.0%
Colorado	1	572	572	59,246	59,246	50.3%	0.0%
Florida	9	6,547	6,631	622,582	623,785	58.8%	39.3%
Georgia	6	3,565	3,585	533,601	535,336	65.2%	52.6%
Illinois	3	1,928	1,960	160,495	161,378	68.0%	50.7%
Massachusetts	2	1,187	1,199	122,808	123,048	63.3%	46.8%
New Jersey	1	845	850	78,295	78,295	92.3%	73.0%
New York	1	904	906	46,197	46,197	55.9%	36.3%
North Carolina	2	654	654	104,665	104,665	79.6%	79.6%
Pennsylvania	2	1,984	1,991	173,059	173,019	68.8%	54.6%
Rhode Island	1	977	985	91,075	90,995	43.2%	23.1%
South Carolina	1	734	760	76,435	76,575	63.9%	33.6%
Texas	2	1,594	1,594	172,447	172,447	24.7%	14.4%
Utah	1	657	654	75,751	75,601	93.5%	78.8%
Virginia	1	458	459	63,794	63,709	89.6%	63.0%
<b>Total Lease up Managed Properties</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24,348</b>	<b>24,539</b>	<b>2,616,819</b>	<b>2,620,535</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>48.4%</b>
<b>Total Lease up Properties</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>55,060</b>	<b>51,563</b>	<b>5,883,083</b>	<b>5,483,691</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>

(1) Represents unit count as of September 30, 2011, which may differ from September 30, 2010 unit count due to unit conversions or expansions.

(2) Represents net rentable square feet as of September 30, 2011, which may differ from September 30, 2010 net rentable square feet due to unit conversions or expansions.

24

## [Table of Contents](#)

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Comparison of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

##### Overview

Results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 include the operations of 676 properties (329 of which were consolidated and 347 of which were in joint ventures accounted for using the equity method) compared to the results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, which included the operations of 652 properties (285 of which were consolidated and 367 of which were in joint ventures accounted for using the equity method).

##### Revenues



The following table sets forth information on revenues earned for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	
<b>Revenues:</b>									
Property rental	\$ 69,475	\$ 59,332	\$ 10,143	17.1%	\$ 195,265	\$ 172,261	\$ 23,004	13.4%	
Management and franchise fees	6,353	5,851	502	8.6%	18,464	17,056	1,408	8.3%	
Tenant reinsurance	8,269	6,796	1,473	21.7%	22,889	19,026	3,863	20.3%	
Total revenues	<u>\$ 84,097</u>	<u>\$ 71,979</u>	<u>\$ 12,118</u>	<u>16.8%</u>	<u>\$ 236,618</u>	<u>\$ 208,343</u>	<u>\$ 28,275</u>	<u>13.6%</u>	

**Property Rental** — The increases in property rental revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 consist primarily of increases of \$5,736 and \$12,021, respectively, associated with acquisitions completed in 2011 and 2010, increases of \$2,527 and \$6,900, respectively, resulting from increases in occupancy and rental rates to existing customers at our stabilized properties, and increases of \$1,880 and \$5,004, respectively, related to increases in occupancy at our lease-up properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, these increases were partially offset by a \$921 decrease associated with the sale of 19 properties to Harrison Street Real Estate Capital, LLC (Harrison Street) in January 2010.

**Management and Franchise Fees** — Our taxable REIT subsidiary, Extra Space Management, Inc. manages properties owned by our joint ventures, franchisees and third parties. Management and franchise fees generally represent 6% of revenues generated from properties owned by third parties, franchisees, and unconsolidated joint ventures. The increases in management and franchise fees are related to the additional fees earned from the joint venture with Harrison Street and to the increase in third-party managed properties compared to the same periods in the prior year. We managed 178 third-party properties as of September 30, 2011 compared to 157 third-party properties as of September 30, 2010.

**Tenant Reinsurance** — The increases in tenant reinsurance revenues were partially due to the increase of overall customer participation to 64.1% at September 30, 2011 compared to 59.0% at September 30, 2010. In addition, the increases relate to the properties that were acquired in 2011 and 2010. Also, we had 178 properties under management at September 30, 2011 compared to 157 at September 30, 2010.

### Expenses

The following table sets forth information on expenses for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	2011	2010	\$ Change	% Change	
<b>Expenses:</b>									
Property operations	\$ 24,270	\$ 21,334	\$ 2,936	13.8%	\$ 70,326	\$ 64,231	\$ 6,095	9.5%	
Tenant reinsurance	1,596	1,736	(140)	(8.1)%	4,593	4,416	177	4.0%	
Unrecovered development and acquisition costs	346	211	135	64.0%	2,165	423	1,742	411.8%	
Loss on sublease	—	2,000	(2,000)	(100.0)%	—	2,000	(2,000)	(100.0)%	
General and administrative	12,306	10,618	1,688	15.9%	36,396	32,903	3,493	10.6%	
Depreciation and amortization	14,364	12,519	1,845	14.7%	42,041	37,140	4,901	13.2%	
Total expenses	<u>\$ 52,882</u>	<u>\$ 48,418</u>	<u>\$ 4,464</u>	<u>9.2%</u>	<u>\$ 155,521</u>	<u>\$ 141,113</u>	<u>\$ 14,408</u>	<u>10.2%</u>	

### [Table of Contents](#)

**Property Operations** — The increases in property operations expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 consist primarily of increases of \$2,278 and \$5,168, respectively, associated with acquisitions completed in 2011 and 2010 and increases of \$731 and \$1,599, respectively, related to increases in expenses at our lease-up properties. These increases for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were offset by decreases in expenses of \$73 and \$362, respectively, as a result of a reduction of expenses at our stabilized properties, which related mainly to property taxes, advertising and utilities expenses. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there was also a decrease in expenses of \$310 related to the sale of 19 properties to Harrison Street in January 2010.

**Tenant Reinsurance** — Tenant reinsurance expense represents the costs that are incurred to provide tenant reinsurance.

**Unrecovered Development and Acquisition Costs** — Unrecovered development and acquisition costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 relate to costs associated with the acquisition of properties during the periods indicated. The increases were due to increased acquisition activity over the prior year. We acquired 27 properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to seven properties during the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the increase also reflects expenses related to a portfolio of 19 properties that was acquired subsequent to the quarter end.

**Loss on Sublease** — The costs incurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 represents a \$2,000 expense relating to the bankruptcy of a tenant subleasing office space from us in Memphis, Tennessee. The Memphis, Tennessee office lease was a liability assumed as part of the Storage USA acquisition in July, 2005. There were no such losses incurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

**General and Administrative** — The increases in general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily due to the overall cost associated with the management and acquisition of additional properties. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we purchased 27 properties, 19 of which we did not previously manage. In addition, we managed 178 third-party properties as of September 30, 2011 compared to 157 third-party properties as of September 30, 2010.

**Depreciation and Amortization** — Depreciation and amortization expense increased as a result of the acquisition of 17 properties and the completion of four development properties in 2010 and the acquisition of 27 properties and the completion of five development properties during the first nine months of 2011.

## Other Revenues and Expenses

The following table sets forth information on other revenues and expenses for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change
	2011	2010			2011	2010		
<b>Other revenue and expenses:</b>								
Interest expense	\$ (16,756)	\$ (15,702)	\$ (1,054)	6.7%	\$ (49,431)	\$ (49,209)	\$ (222)	0.5%
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on exchangeable senior notes	(440)	(416)	(24)	5.8%	(1,308)	(1,236)	(72)	5.8%
Interest income	185	178	7	3.9%	556	714	(158)	(22.1)%
Interest income on note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit holder	1,213	1,213	—	—	3,638	3,638	—	—
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures	1,873	1,736	137	7.9%	6,060	4,796	1,264	26.4%
Income tax expense	62	(1,088)	1,150	(105.7)%	(603)	(3,347)	2,744	(82.0)%
Total other revenue (expense)	<u>\$ (13,863)</u>	<u>\$ (14,079)</u>	<u>\$ 216</u>	<u>(1.5)%</u>	<u>\$ (41,088)</u>	<u>\$ (44,644)</u>	<u>\$ 3,556</u>	<u>(8.0)%</u>

**Interest Expense** — The increases in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily the result of costs associated with prepaying certain loans and an increase in the average amount of our debt outstanding when compared with the same period of the prior year.

**Non-cash Interest Expense Related to Amortization of Discount on Exchangeable Senior Notes** — Represents the amortization of the discount on exchangeable senior notes, which reflects the effective interest rate relative to the carrying amount of the liability.

**Interest Income** — Interest income represents amounts earned on cash and cash equivalents deposited with financial institutions.

**Interest Income on Note Receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership Unit Holder** — Represents interest on a \$100,000 loan to the holders of the Preferred OP units.

## [Table of Contents](#)

**Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Ventures** — The increases in equity in earnings of real estate ventures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were due primarily to increased revenues at these joint ventures as a result of increases in occupancy and rental rates to new and existing customers. In addition, we recognized an additional \$330 related to gains (net of losses) on property sales from joint ventures during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

**Income Tax Expense** — The decreases in income tax expense primarily relate to a solar tax credit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the decrease related to the credit was partially offset by increased taxes resulting from increased tenant reinsurance income earned by our taxable REIT subsidiary.

## **Net Income Allocated to Noncontrolling Interests**

The following table sets forth information on net income allocated to noncontrolling interests for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change
	2011	2010			2011	2010		
<b>Net income allocated to noncontrolling interests:</b>								
Net income allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership noncontrolling interests	\$ (1,598)	\$ (1,524)	\$ (74)	4.9%	\$ (4,682)	\$ (4,510)	\$ (172)	3.8%
Net income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling interests	(493)	(291)	(202)	69.4%	(1,156)	(661)	(495)	74.9%
Total income allocated to noncontrolling interests:	<u>\$ (2,091)</u>	<u>\$ (1,815)</u>	<u>\$ (276)</u>	<u>15.2%</u>	<u>\$ (5,838)</u>	<u>\$ (5,171)</u>	<u>\$ (667)</u>	<u>12.9%</u>

**Net Income Allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership Noncontrolling Interests** — Income allocated to the Preferred OP units as of September 30, 2011 and 2010 equals the fixed distribution paid to the Preferred OP unit holder plus approximately 1.0% and 1.1%, respectively, of the remaining net income allocated after the adjustment for the fixed distribution paid.

**Net Income Allocated to Operating Partnership and Other Noncontrolling Interests** — Income allocated to the Operating Partnership as of September 30, 2011 and 2010 represents approximately 3.1% and 3.8%, respectively, of net income after the allocation of the fixed distribution paid to the Preferred OP unit holder. Loss allocated to other noncontrolling interests represents the losses allocated to partners in consolidated joint ventures.

## FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

Funds from Operations (“FFO”) provides relevant and meaningful information about our operating performance that is necessary, along with net income and cash flows, for an understanding of our operating results. We believe FFO is a meaningful disclosure as a supplement to net earnings. Net earnings assume that the values of real estate assets diminish predictably over time as reflected through depreciation and amortization expenses. The values of real estate assets fluctuate due to market conditions and we believe FFO more accurately reflects the value of our real estate assets. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. (“NAREIT”) as net income computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains or losses on sales of operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments to record unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures on the same basis. We believe that to further understand our performance, FFO should be considered along with the reported net income and cash flows in accordance with GAAP, as presented in our consolidated financial statements.

The computation of FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs or real estate companies that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance, as an alternative to net cash flow from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity, or an indicator of our ability to make cash distributions. The following table sets forth the calculation of FFO for the periods indicated:

27

[Table of Contents](#)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Net income attributable to common stockholders</b>	\$ 15,261	\$ 7,667	\$ 34,171	\$ 17,415
<b>Adjustments:</b>				
Real estate depreciation	12,958	11,715	38,000	34,868
Amortization of intangibles	651	122	1,371	399
Joint venture real estate depreciation and amortization	1,979	2,172	6,111	6,181
Joint venture loss on sale of properties	512	65	182	65
Distributions paid on Preferred Operating Partnership units	(1,438)	(1,438)	(4,313)	(4,313)
Income allocated to Operating Partnership noncontrolling interests	2,092	1,827	5,846	5,217
<b>Funds from operations</b>	<b>\$ 32,015</b>	<b>\$ 22,130</b>	<b>\$ 81,368</b>	<b>\$ 59,832</b>

**SAME-STORE STABILIZED PROPERTY RESULTS**

We consider our same-store stabilized portfolio to consist of only those properties that were wholly-owned at the beginning and at the end of the applicable periods presented that have achieved stabilization as of the first day of such period. The following table sets forth operating data for our same-store portfolio. We consider the following same-store presentation to be meaningful in regards to the properties shown below. These results provide information relating to property-level operating changes without the effects of acquisitions or completed developments.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		Percent Change	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		Percent Change
	2011	2010		2011	2010	
Same-store rental and tenant reinsurance revenues	\$ 61,723	\$ 58,864	4.9%	\$ 179,605	\$ 171,757	4.6%
Same-store operating and tenant reinsurance expenses	19,690	19,693	0.0%	59,506	59,504	0.0%
Same-store net operating income	\$ 42,033	\$ 39,171	7.3%	\$ 120,099	\$ 112,253	7.0%
Non same-store rental and tenant reinsurance revenues	\$ 16,021	\$ 7,264	120.6%	\$ 38,549	\$ 19,530	97.4%
Non same-store operating and tenant reinsurance expenses	\$ 6,176	\$ 3,377	82.9%	\$ 15,413	\$ 9,143	68.6%
Total rental and tenant reinsurance revenues	\$ 77,744	\$ 66,128	17.6%	\$ 218,154	\$ 191,287	14.0%
Total operating and tenant reinsurance expenses	\$ 25,866	\$ 23,070	12.1%	\$ 74,919	\$ 68,647	9.1%
Same-store square foot occupancy as of quarter end	89.1%	85.7%		89.1%	85.7%	
Properties included in same-store	253	253		253	253	

The increases in same-store rental revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were due primarily to a 3.4% increase in occupancy, declines in discounts and increases in incoming rates to new tenants.

**CASH FLOWS**

Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$100,657 and \$74,730, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The increase compared to the same period of the prior year primarily relates to a \$17,423 increase in net income and \$4,901 increase in depreciation expense.

Cash used in investing activities was \$169,188 and \$34,495, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The increase relates primarily to an increase of \$83,755 in the amount of cash used to acquire real estate assets during 2011 as compared to 2010 and \$51,000 related to the purchase of an affiliated joint venture note payable in September 2011.

Cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$55,676, compared to cash used in financing activities of \$150,387 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily the result of net proceeds from the sale of common stock of \$112,352 in 2011 compared to \$0 in 2010. There was also an increase in proceeds from notes payable and lines of credit of \$239,118 over the same period of the prior year offset by an increase in cash paid for principal payments on notes payable and lines of credit of \$141,674.

28

[Table of Contents](#)

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

As of September 30, 2011, we had \$33,895 available in cash and cash equivalents. We intend to use this cash to repay debt scheduled to mature in 2011 and 2012 and for general corporate purposes. We are required to distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to our stockholders

on an annual basis to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Our cash and cash equivalents are held in accounts managed by third party financial institutions and consist of invested cash and cash in our operating accounts. During 2010 and the first nine months of 2011, we experienced no loss or lack of access to our cash or cash equivalents; however, there can be no assurance that access to our cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets.

The following table sets forth information on our lines of credit for the periods indicated:

Line of Credit	As of September 30, 2011		Interest Rate	Origination Date	Maturity	Basis Rate	Notes
	Amount Drawn	Capacity					
Credit Line 1	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	1.2%	10/19/2007	10/31/2011	LIBOR plus 1.0% - 2.1%	(1,5)
Credit Line 2	—	50,000	2.4%	2/13/2009	2/13/2013	LIBOR plus 2.15%	(1,4,5)
Credit Line 3	57,000	75,000	3.7%	6/4/2010	5/31/2013	LIBOR plus 3.5%	(2,4,5)
Credit Line 4	9,000	40,000	2.4%	11/16/2010	11/16/2013	LIBOR plus 2.2%	(3,4,5)
Credit Line 5	—	50,000	3.0%	4/29/2011	4/29/2014	LIBOR plus 2.75%	(3,4,5)
	<u>\$ 166,000</u>	<u>\$ 315,000</u>					

- (1) One year extension available
- (2) One two-year extension available
- (3) Two one-year extensions available
- (4) Guaranteed by the Company
- (5) Secured by mortgages on certain real estate assets

As of September 30, 2011, we had \$1,243,119 of debt, resulting in a debt to total capitalization ratio of 40.4%. As of September 30, 2011, the ratio of total fixed rate debt and other instruments to total debt was 77.3% (including \$343,586 on which we have interest rate swaps that have been included as fixed-rate debt). The weighted average interest rate of the total of fixed and variable rate debt at September 30, 2011 was 4.8%. Certain of our real estate assets are pledged as collateral for our debt. We are subject to certain restrictive covenants relating to our outstanding debt. We were in compliance with all financial covenants at September 30, 2011.

We expect to fund our short-term liquidity requirements, including operating expenses, recurring capital expenditures, dividends to stockholders, distributions to holders of OP units and interest on our outstanding indebtedness, out of our operating cash flow, cash on hand and borrowings under our lines of credit. In addition, we are pursuing additional term loans secured by unencumbered properties.

Our liquidity needs consist primarily of cash distributions to stockholders, property acquisitions, principal payments under our borrowings and non-recurring capital expenditures. We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem our outstanding debt, shares of common stock or other securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. In addition, we evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the merits of strategic acquisitions and other relationships, which may require us to raise additional funds. We do not expect that our operating cash flow or cash balances will be sufficient to fund our liquidity needs and instead expect to fund such needs out of additional borrowings of secured or unsecured indebtedness, joint ventures with third parties, and from the proceeds of public and private offerings of equity and debt. Additional capital may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all. Any additional issuance of equity or equity-linked securities may result in dilution to our stockholders. In addition, any new securities we issue could have rights, preferences and privileges senior to holders of our common stock. We may also use OP units as currency to fund acquisitions from self-storage owners who desire tax-deferral in their exiting transactions.

The U.S. credit markets have experienced dislocations and liquidity disruptions. These circumstances have impacted liquidity in the debt markets, making financing terms for borrowers less attractive, and in certain cases have resulted in the unavailability of certain types of debt financing. Uncertainty in the credit markets may negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions. A prolonged downturn in the credit markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. In addition, these factors may make it more difficult for us to sell properties or may adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing. These events in the credit markets have also had an adverse effect on other financial markets in

## [Table of Contents](#)

the United States, which may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of common stock, preferred stock or other equity securities. These disruptions in the financial market may have other adverse effects on us or the economy generally, which could cause our stock price to decline.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Except as disclosed in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements, we do not currently have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purposes entities, which typically are established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, except as disclosed in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements, we have not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities, nor do we have any commitments or intent to provide funding to any such entities. Accordingly, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these relationships.

Our exchangeable senior notes provide for excess exchange value to be paid in shares of our common stock if our stock price exceeds a certain amount. For a further description of our exchangeable senior notes, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table sets forth information on payments due by period as of September 30, 2011:

	Payments due by Period:				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
Operating leases	\$ 63,121	\$ 7,242	\$ 13,013	\$ 7,590	\$ 35,276
Notes payable, notes payable to trusts, exchangeable senior notes and lines of credit					
Interest	359,527	55,138	94,749	60,120	149,520
Principal	1,243,119	241,749	295,104	353,065	353,201
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>\$ 1,665,767</b>	<b>\$ 304,129</b>	<b>\$ 402,866</b>	<b>\$ 420,775</b>	<b>\$ 537,997</b>

At September 30, 2011, the weighted-average interest rate for all fixed rate loans was 5.3%, and the weighted-average interest rate for all variable rate loans was 2.8%.

## FINANCING STRATEGY

We will continue to employ leverage in our capital structure in amounts reviewed from time to time by our board of directors. Although our board of directors has not adopted a policy that limits the total amount of indebtedness that we may incur, we will consider a number of factors in evaluating our level of indebtedness from time to time, as well as the amount of such indebtedness that will be either fixed or variable rate. In making financing decisions, we will consider factors including but not limited to:

- the interest rate of the proposed financing;
- the extent to which the financing impacts flexibility in managing our properties;
- prepayment penalties and restrictions on refinancing;
- the purchase price of properties acquired with debt financing;
- long-term objectives with respect to the financing;
- target investment returns;
- the ability of particular properties, and our company as a whole, to generate cash flow sufficient to cover expected debt service payments;

## [Table of Contents](#)

- overall level of consolidated indebtedness;
- timing of debt and lease maturities;
- provisions that require recourse and cross-collateralization;
- corporate credit ratios including debt service coverage, debt to total capitalization and debt to undepreciated assets; and
- the overall ratio of fixed and variable rate debt.

Our indebtedness may be recourse, non-recourse or cross-collateralized. If the indebtedness is non-recourse, the collateral will be limited to the particular properties to which the indebtedness relates. In addition, we may invest in properties subject to existing loans collateralized by mortgages or similar liens on our properties, or may refinance properties acquired on a leveraged basis. We may use the proceeds from any borrowings to refinance existing indebtedness, to refinance investments, including the redevelopment of existing properties, for general working capital or to purchase additional interests in partnerships or joint ventures or for other purposes when we believe it is advisable.

We may from time to time seek to retire, repurchase or redeem our additional outstanding debt including our exchangeable senior notes as well as shares of common stock or other securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

## SEASONALITY

The self-storage business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. A greater portion of revenues and profits are realized from May through September. Historically, our highest level of occupancy has been at the end of July, while our lowest level of occupancy has been in late February and early March. Results for any quarter may not be indicative of the results that may be achieved for the full fiscal year.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

### Market Risk

Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our future income, cash flows and fair values of financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates.

### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately \$1,243,119 in total debt, of which \$282,319 was subject to variable interest rates (excluding debt with interest rate swaps). If LIBOR were to increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the increase or decrease in interest expense on the variable rate debt (excluding variable rate debt with interest rate floors) would increase or decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$2,005 annually.

Interest rate risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

[Table of Contents](#)

The fair values of our notes receivable, our fixed rate notes payable and notes payable to trusts and exchangeable senior notes are as follows:

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit holder	\$ 103,994	\$ 100,000	\$ 115,696	\$ 100,000
Fixed rate notes payable and notes payable to trusts	\$ 937,327	\$ 873,137	\$ 777,575	\$ 731,588
Exchangeable senior notes	\$ 91,555	\$ 87,663	\$ 118,975	\$ 87,663

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**(1) Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based on the definition of “disclosure controls and procedures” in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide a reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We have a disclosure committee that is responsible to ensure that all disclosures made by the Company to its security holders or to the investment community will be accurate and complete and fairly present the Company’s financial condition and results of operations in all material respects, and are made on a timely basis as required by applicable laws, regulations and stock exchange requirements.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of the end of the period covered by this report.

**(2) Changes in internal control over financial reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during our most recent quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in various litigation and proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We are not a party to any material litigation or legal proceedings, or to the best of our knowledge, any threatened litigation or legal proceedings, which, in the opinion of management, are expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations either individually or in the aggregate.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

[Table of Contents](#)

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

#### ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

#### ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1\* Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101\*\* The following materials from Extra Space Storage Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 are formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (1) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (2) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (3) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity, (4) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (5) notes to these financial statements.

---

\* These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany this quarterly report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Extra Space Storage Inc., whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing. Signed originals of these certifications have been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

#### [Table of Contents](#)

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC.  
*Registrant*

Date: November 7, 2011

/s/ Spencer F. Kirk  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Spencer F. Kirk  
*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*  
*(Principal Executive Officer)*

Date: November 7, 2011

/s/ Kent W. Christensen  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kent W. Christensen  
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer*  
*(Principal Financial Officer)*

## CERTIFICATION

I, Spencer F. Kirk, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Extra Space Storage Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2011

By: /s/ Spencer F. Kirk  
Name: Spencer F. Kirk  
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

---



## CERTIFICATION

I, Kent W. Christensen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Extra Space Storage Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 7, 2011

By: /s/ Kent W. Christensen

Name: Kent W. Christensen

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

---

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer**  
**Pursuant to**  
**18 U.S.C. Section 1350,**  
**as adopted pursuant to**  
**Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer of Extra Space Storage Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (the "Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Spencer F. Kirk  
Name: Spencer F. Kirk  
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
Date: November 7, 2011

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of Extra Space Storage Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (the "Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Kent W. Christensen  
Name: Kent W. Christensen  
Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
Date: November 7, 2011

---