UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

or

• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

to

For the transition period from

Commission File Number: 001-32269

EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1076777 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Non-accelerated filer o

2795 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 400

Salt Lake City, Utah 84121

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 562-5556

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Accelerated filer x

Large accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of August 4, 2006 was 52,006,118.

EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT ON FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES PART II. OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS ITEM 1. RISK FACTORS ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 6. EXHIBITS SIGNATURES

STATEMENT ON FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain information set forth in this report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates," or "intends" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. We may also make additional forward-looking statements from time to time. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, by us or on our behalf, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

All forward-looking statements, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this report. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the risks referenced in "Part II. Item 1A. Risk Factors" below and in "Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors" included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

- changes in general economic conditions and in the markets in which we operate;
- the effect of competition from new self-storage facilities or other storage alternatives, which would cause rents and occupancy rates to decline;
- our ability to effectively compete in the industry in which we do business;
- difficulties in our ability to evaluate, finance and integrate acquired and developed properties into our existing operations and to lease up those properties, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- the impact of the regulatory environment as well as national, state, and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, those governing Real Estate Investment Trusts, which could increase our expenses and reduce our cash available for distribution;
- difficulties in raising capital at reasonable rates, which could impede our ability to grow;
- delays in the development and construction process, which could adversely affect our profitability; and
- economic uncertainty due to the impact of war or terrorism which could adversely affect our business plan.

2

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Extra Space Storage Inc. Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands, except share data)

		<u>une 30, 2006</u> unaudited)	Dec	ember 31, 2005
Assets:	,	,		
Real estate assets, net	\$	1,322,527	\$	1,212,678
Investments in real estate ventures		90,569		90,898
Cash and cash equivalents		4,250		28,653
Restricted cash		18,384		18,373
Receivables from related parties and affiliated real estate joint ventures		11,793		23,683
Notes receivable		1,693		12,109
Other assets, net		28,753		33,798
Total assets	\$	1,477,969	\$	1,420,192
Liabilities, Minority Interests, and Stockholders' Equity:				
Notes payable	\$	805,680	\$	747,193
Notes payable to trusts		119,590		119,590
Line of credit		25,000		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		4,486		13,261
Other liabilities		27,326		23,785
Total liabilities		982,082		903,829
Minority interest in Occurting Destroyabin				20.010
Minority interest in Operating Partnership		34,549		36,010
Other minority interests		225		225

Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	_	_
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 51,813,459 and 51,765,795 shares issued		
and outstanding at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	518	518
Paid-in capital	624,465	626,123
Deferred stock compensation	_	(2,374)
Accumulated deficit	(163,870)	(144,139)
Total stockholders' equity	461,113	480,128
Total liabilities, minority interests, and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,477,969	\$ 1,420,192

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Extra Space Storage Inc. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except share data) (unaudited)

		Three mor	nths e	nded	Six months ended			ded
		June 30, 2006		June 30, 2005	J	June 30, 2006		une 30, 2005
D								
Revenues: Property rental	\$	42,020	\$	23,819	\$	81,195	\$	46.041
1 5	Э	42,020	Э	23,819 400	Э	10,340	Э	46,041 768
Management and franchise fees Tenant insurance		5,161 971		400		10,340		/00
		-		262		· · · · · ·		529
Development fees Other income		175 184		262		225 249		121
Total revenues						_		
Total revenues		48,531		24,551		93,901		47,459
Expenses:								
Property operations		15,248		9,041		29,990		17,919
Tenant insurance		589				1,222		
Unrecovered development/acquisition costs		24		168		342		275
General and administrative		8,747		3,320		17,992		6,297
Depreciation and amortization		9,057		6,213		18,333		11,943
Total expenses		33,665		18,742		67,879		36,434
							_	
Income before interest, minority interest and equity in earnings of real estate								
ventures		14,866		5,809		26,022		11,025
Interest expense		(12,784)		(7,493)		(24,769)		(13,732)
Interest income		148		66		630		76
Minority interest - Operating Partnership		(225)		110		(279)		166
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures		1,087		288		2,226		605
Net income (loss)	\$	3,092	\$	(1,220)	\$	3,830	\$	(1,860)
Net income (loss) per common share	<u>_</u>			(0.0.1)	_			(0.00)
Basic	\$	0.06	\$	(0.04)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.06)
Diluted	\$	0.06	\$	(0.04)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.06)
Weighted average number of shares								
Basic		51,625,135		31,858,839	\$	51,606,618		31,514,394
Diluted		55,991,088		31,858,839		55,983,086		31,514,394
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$	0.23	\$	0.23	\$	0.46	\$	0.46

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Extra Space Storage Inc. Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (in thousands, except share data) (unaudited)

Commo	1 Stock	Paid-in	Deferred	Accumulated	Total Stockholders'
 Shares	Par Value	Capital	Compensation	Deficit	Equity

Balances at December 31, 2005	51,765,795	\$ 518	\$ 626,123	\$ (2,374)	\$ (144,139)	\$ 480,128
Reclassification of deferred						
compensation upon adoption of						
SFAS 123R	_		(2,374)	2,374	—	—
Issuance of common stock upon the						
exercise of options	14,664	_	127		—	127
Issuance of common stock to certain						
members of the board	12,000	_			—	—
Non-vested stock grants	21,000		—		—	—
Compensation expense related to						
stock-based awards	_		1,016			1,016
Other adjustments	—	_	(427)		—	(427)
Net income	—	_			3,830	3,830
Dividends paid on common stock			 		(23,561)	(23,561)
Balances at June 30, 2006	51,813,459	\$ 518	\$ 624,465	\$ 	\$ (163,870)	\$ 461,113

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Extra Space Storage Inc. Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands) (unaudited)

		Six month	hs ende			
	Ju	ne 30, 2006		une 30, 2005		
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net income (loss)	\$	3,830	\$	(1,860)		
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		18,333		11,943		
Stock compensation expense		1,016		—		
Gain (loss) allocated to minority interests		279		(166)		
Distributions from real estate ventures in excess of earnings		2,280		131		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Receivables from related parties		11,890		(763)		
Other assets		6,895		(6,743)		
Accounts payable		(8,775)		(2,163)		
Other liabilities		516		4,066		
Net cash provided by operating activities		36,264		4,445		
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Acquisition of real estate assets		(87,964)		(69,961)		
Development and construction of real estate assets		(15,118)		(2,873)		
Proceeds from sale of real estate assets		728		—		
Investments in real estate ventures		(4,835)		(1,722)		
Change in restricted cash		(11)		(1,566)		
Principal payments received on notes receivable		118				
Purchase of equipment and fixtures		(768)		(483)		
Net cash used in investing activities		(107,850)		(76,605)		
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from notes payable, notes payable to trusts and line of credit		97,602		122,726		
Principal payments on notes payable and line of credit		(24,598)		(43,299)		
Deferred financing costs		(647)		(2,713)		
Minority interest investments				225		
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net				81,358		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		127				
Dividends paid on common stock		(23,561)		(14,182)		
Distributions to Operating Partnership units held by minority interests		(1,740)		(1,242)		
Net cash provided by financing activities		47,183		142,873		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(24,403)		70,713		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period		28,653		24,329		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$	4,250	\$	95,042		
		.,_30	-			
Supplemental schedule of cash flow information						
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$	23,173	\$	11,195		
increst pute, net of anomits cupitalized	Ψ	20,170	Ψ	11,133		

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Extra Space Storage Inc. Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) Amounts in thousands, except shares and per share data

1. ORGANIZATION

Extra Space Storage Inc. (the "Company") is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT"), formed as a Maryland corporation on April 30, 2004 to own, operate, manage, acquire and develop self-storage facilities located throughout the United States. The Company continues the business of Extra Space Storage LLC and its subsidiaries (the "Predecessor"), which had engaged in the self-storage business since 1977. The Company's interest in its properties is held through its operating partnership, Extra Space Storage LP (the "Operating Partnership"), which was formed on May 5, 2004. The Company's primary assets are general partner and limited partner interests in the Operating Partnership. This structure is commonly referred to as an umbrella partnership REIT, or UPREIT. The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To the extent the Company continues to qualify as a REIT, it will not be subject to tax, with certain limited exceptions, on the taxable income that is distributed to its stockholders.

The Company invests in self-storage facilities by acquiring or developing wholly-owned facilities or by acquiring an equity interest in real estate entities. At June 30, 2006, the Company had direct and indirect equity interests in 556 storage facilities located in 32 states and Washington, D.C.

The Company operates in two distinct segments: (1) property management and development; and (2) rental operations. The Company's property management and development activities include acquiring, managing, developing and selling self-storage facilities. The rental operations activities include rental operations of self-storage facilities. No single tenant accounts for more than 5% of rental income.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006. The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2005, has been derived from the Company's audited financial statements as of that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share–Based Payment," ("SFAS 123R"), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123R supersedes SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock–Based Compensation" and Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). The Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective application method of adoption which requires the Company to record compensation cost related to non-vested stock awards as of December 31, 2005 by recognizing the unamortized grant date fair value of these awards over their remaining service period with no change in historical reported earnings. Awards granted after December 31, 2005 are valued at fair value in accordance with provisions of SFAS 123R and recognized on a straight line basis over the service periods of each award. The forfeiture rate, which is estimated at a weighted average of 7.8% of unvested options outstanding as of June 30, 2006, is adjusted periodically based on the extent to which actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ, from the previous estimate.

Prior to 2006, the Company accounted for stock–based compensation in accordance with APB 25 using the intrinsic value method, which did not require that compensation cost be recognized for the Company's stock options provided the option exercise price was established at 100% of the common stock fair value market on the date of grant. Under APB 25, the Company was required to record expense over the vesting period for the value of restricted common stock granted. Prior to 2006, the Company provided pro forma disclosure amounts in accordance with SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock–Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS 148"), as if the fair value method defined by SFAS 123 had been applied to its stock–based compensation. The Company's net loss and net loss per share for the three and six months ended June 30,

7

2005 would have been greater if compensation cost related to stock options had been recorded in the financial statements based on fair value at the grant dates.

Options

The Company has the following two stock options plans under which shares were available for grant at June 30, 2006: the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, and the 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Share Plan. Under the terms of the plans, the exercise price of an option shall be determined by the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee and reflected in the applicable award agreement. Each option becomes exercisable after the period or periods specified in the award agreement, which generally do not exceed 10 years from the date of grant (or five years in the case of an incentive stock option granted to a 10% stockholder, if permitted under the plans). Options are exercisable at such times and subject to such terms as determined by the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee, but under no circumstances may an option be exercised if such exercise would cause a violation of the ownership limit in the Company's charter. Unless otherwise determined by the Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee, but under no the date of grant. The Company recorded \$250 and \$450, respectively, of compensation expense relating to outstanding options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. No compensation expense was recorded related to outstanding options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

The following assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model:

	Three Month	ns Ended	Six Months	Ended
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005		June 30, 2005
		510/	222/	P 404
Expected volatility	25%	21%	22%	21%
Dividend yield	6.3%	7.1%	6.4%	7.1%
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	3.4%	4.7%	3.4%
Average expected term (years)	5	5	5	5

The Black-Scholes model incorporates assumptions to value stock-based awards. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the estimated life of the option. The Company uses guideline companies to estimate the expected price volatility and average expected term. These amounts are not materially different from the Company's historical data on valuation and exercise timing.

The following table summarizes the Company's activities with respect to its stock option plans for the six months ended June 30, 2006:

Options	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	I	Aggregate ntrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	3,032,398	\$ 13.89			
Granted	168,677	15.45			
Exercised	(38,350)	12.64			
Cancelled	(84,314)	14.95			
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	3,078,411	\$ 13.96	8.65	\$	7,036
Vested and expected to vest in the future at					
June 30, 2006	3,046,372	\$ 13.93	8.64	\$	7,035
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	374,749	\$ 12.69	8.24	\$	1,332

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in–the–money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on June 30, 2006. The amount of aggregate intrinsic value will change based on the fair market value of the Company's stock.

8

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$23 and \$120, respectively. Exercise of options during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 resulted in cash receipts of \$36 and \$127, respectively. There were no options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

Non-vested Common Stock

On June 1, 2006, April 1, 2006 and during July 2005, the Company granted 15,000, 6,000 and 190,000 shares, respectively, of non-vested common stock to certain employees, without monetary consideration under the Company's 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan. At the date of the grant, the recipient had all rights of a stockholder including the right to vote and receive dividends subject to restrictions on transfers and forfeiture provisions. The forfeiture and transfer restriction on the shares lapse over a three to four year period beginning on the date of grant. The Company did not grant shares of non-vested common stock during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. The Company recorded approximately \$197 and \$383 of compensation expense related to outstanding shares of non-vested common stock held by employees during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. The Company did not record any compensation expense relating to outstanding shares of non-vested common stock during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

The fair value of non-vested common stock awards is determined based on the closing trading price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. A summary of the Company's non-vested shares activity for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was as follows:

	Shares	Weighted- Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Non-vested shares at January 1, 2006	173,750	\$ 15.66
Granted	21,000	\$ 15.91
Vested	(10,000)	\$ 15.66
Forfeited	_	_
Non-vested shares at June 30, 2006	184,750	\$ 15.69

At June 30, 2006, there was \$2,325 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested shares granted to employees under the Company's 2004 Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years. The valuation model applied in this calculation utilizes subjective assumptions that could potentially change over time, including the expected forfeiture rate. Therefore, the amount of unrecognized compensation expense at June 30, 2006 noted above does not necessarily represent the expense that will ultimately be realized by the Company in the Statement of Operations. Unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested shares of restricted common stock awards granted to employees was recorded as deferred compensation in stockholder's equity at December 31, 2005. As part of the adoption of SFAS 123R, \$2,374 of unrecognized compensation expense was reclassified as a component of paid-in capital.

Other Grants

On May 15, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors approved the issuance of 12,000 shares of common stock with a market value of \$183 to its certain directors for services. The Company recorded stock compensation in its statements of operations for the aggregate market value of the stock at the date of

grant.

Summary

As a result of adopting SFAS 123R, the net income set forth in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$250 and \$450 lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for stock–based compensation under APB 25. Pro forma net loss as if the fair value based method had been applied to all awards for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 is as follows:

	 onths ended 30, 2005	ix months ed June 30, 2005
Net loss as reported	\$ (1,220)	\$ (1,860)
Deduct: stock-based compensation expense	(136)	(222)
Pro forma net loss	(1,356)	 (2,082)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted		
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.07)

4. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average common shares outstanding, less nonvested restricted stock. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share measures the performance of the Company over the reporting period while giving effect to all potential common shares that were dilutive and outstanding during the period. The denominator includes the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares that were dilutive had been issued and is calculated using the treasury stock method. Potential common shares are securities (such as options, warrants, convertible debt, and convertible Operating Partnership units) that do not have a current right to participate in earnings but could do so in the future by virtue of their option or conversion right. In computing the dilutive effect of convertible securities, net income or loss is adjusted to add back any changes in earnings (loss) in the period associated with the convertible security. The numerator also is adjusted for the effects of any other non-discretionary changes in income or loss that would result from the assumed conversion of those potential common shares. In computing diluted earnings (loss) per share, only potential common shares that are dilutive, those that reduce earnings per share, are included. Since the Company generated a loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, the impact of inclusion of the potential conversion of securities into common shares is anti-dilutive and therefore diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share. Excluded from the computation of diluted common shares outstanding at June 30, 2005 are 1,827,000 stock options and 2,730,050 convertible Operating Partnership units.

5. REAL ESTATE ASSETS

The components of real estate assets are summarized as follows:

	 June 30, 2006	De	ecember 31, 2005
Land	\$ 333,605	\$	304,892
Buildings and improvements	1,011,869		929,745
Intangible assets - tenant relationships	24,200		22,174
Intangible lease rights	3,400		3,400
	 1,373,074		1,260,211
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(75,707)		(58,252)
Net operating real estate assets	 1,297,367		1,201,959
Real estate under development	25,160		10,719
Net real estate assets	\$ 1,322,527	\$	1,212,678

On January 30, 2006, the Company sold an excess parcel of vacant land in Lanham, Pennsylvania for \$728. There was no gain or loss recognized on the sale.

6. PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS

On June 30, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Phoenix, Arizona from a third party for cash of \$4,100.

On May 18, 2006, the Company acquired its partner's joint venture interest in a property located in Peoria, Arizona for

cash of \$1,071.

On May 4, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Dallas, Texas from a franchisee for cash of \$14,521.

On May 1, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in North Hollywood, California from Extra Space Development, which is a related party, owned by certain members of the Company's management team and a director, for cash of \$12,403 and assumed net liabilities of \$97. The independent members of the Company's board of directors approved this acquisition after obtaining two independent appraisals.

On April 12, 2006, the Company completed a transaction with joint venture entities in which the Company held partnership interests. The Company purchased five properties located in Kansas, Tennessee and Texas, and sold its joint venture interest in three properties located in Texas. The joint ventures were dissolved and proceeds were distributed to joint venture partners. As part of this transaction, the Company also received payment on notes receivable relating to these properties. The Company paid cash of \$3,020, settled franchise notes receivable of \$10,298, assumed a note payable of \$7,926 and net liabilities of \$340. No gain or loss was recognized on this transaction.

On March 30, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Bensalem, Pennsylvania from a third party for cash of \$3,814.

On February 15, 2006, the Company purchased three self-storage facilities located in Lakewood and Tacoma, Washington from a third party for cash of \$17,866.

On January 17, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Dacula, Georgia from a franchisee for cash of \$5,148.

On January 13, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Venice, Florida from a franchisee for cash of \$8,003.

On January 6, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Deland, Florida from a franchisee for cash of \$5,414.

7. INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE VENTURES

Investments in real estate ventures at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consisted of the following:

	Excess Profit Equity		 Investmen	ıt balance at		
	Participation %	Ownership %	 June 30, 2006	D	ecember 31, 2005	
Extra Space West One LLC ("ESW")	40%	5%	\$ 2,000	\$	2,070	
Extra Space Northern Properities Six, LLC						
("ESNPS")	35%	10%	\$ 1,846		1,929	
PRISA Self Storage LLC ("PRISA")	17%	2%	\$ 13,636		13,824	
PRISA II Self Storage LLC ("PRISA II")	17%	2%	\$ 11,012		11,187	
PRISA III Self Storage LLC ("PRISA III")	20%	5%	\$ 4,717		4,954	
VRS Self Storage LLC ("VRS")	20%	5%	\$ 4,616		4,740	
WCOT Self Storage LLC ("WCOT")	20%	5%	\$ 4,899		5,052	
Storage Portfolio I, LLC ("Teachers")	40%	25%	\$ 20,102		20,346	
Storage Portfolio Bravo II ("Heitman")	45%	20%	\$ 15,529		15,753	
Other minority owned properties	10-50%	10-50%	\$ 12,212		11,043	
			\$ 90,569	\$	90,898	

In these joint ventures, the Company and the joint venture partner generally receive a preferred return on their invested capital. To the extent that cash/profits in excess of these preferred returns are generated through operations or capital transactions, the Company would receive a higher percentage of the excess cash/profits than its equity interest.

The components of equity in earnings of real estate ventures consist of the following:

11

	Three months ended June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005				Six months ended June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005			
	June	2000	Jun	e 30, 2003	Ju	ie 30, 2000	Julie	30, 2003
Equity in earnings of ESW	\$	341	\$	270	\$	681	\$	557
Equity in earningsof ESNPS		38		17		74		51
Equity in earnings of PRISA		132				256		—
Equity in earnings of PRISA II		115				221		
Equity in earnings of PRISA III		30		_		53		
Equity in earnings of VRS		41				75		
Equity in earnings of WCOT		40		_		73		_
Equity in earnings of Teachers		301				601		_
Equity in earnings of Heitman		242				473		—
Equity in earnings of other minority owned properties		(193)		1		(281)		(3)
	\$	1,087	\$	288	\$	2,226	\$	605

Equity in earnings of Teachers and Heitman includes the amortization of the Company's excess purchase price of approximately \$19 million of these equity investments over its original basis. The excess basis is amortized over 40 years.

8. NOTES RECEIVABLE

In July 2005, the Company along with joint-venture partner Prudential Insurance Company of America, a New Jersey corporation (together with its affiliates "Prudential") acquired Storage USA Partnership, L.P. ("Storage USA") from GE Commercial Finance for approximately \$2.3 billion in cash. Notes receivable relate to construction advances Storage USA had offered to certain franchisees. All related franchised properties are now in their operating phase. The notes are collateralized by the franchised properties and have terms up to five years. Interest payments are generally due monthly on the notes during the first two years of the term, with amortization of principal generally commencing in the third year based upon a 25-year schedule with the balance due at the due date. The loans bear interest based on a spread over the prime interest rate of 0.5% to 1.0%. Typically, advances represented 70%- 90% of the anticipated cost of the project. As of June 30, 2006, only one note receivable remained outstanding. All other notes receivable have been repaid.

Management periodically assesses historical payment history, payment status, prevailing economic and business conditions, specific loan terms and other relevant factors to determine whether any notes receivable should be placed on non-accrual status or otherwise adjusted for impairment. At June 30, 2006 none of the notes receivable were considered impaired.

9. OTHER ASSETS

The components of other assets are summarized as follows:

	J	une 30, 2006	D	ecember 31, 2005
Equipment and fixtures	\$	10,157	\$	9,389
Less: accumulated depreciation		(5,760)		(4,977)
Deferred financing costs, net		11,290		12,151
Deferred advertising costs, net		50		128
Prepaid expenses and escrow deposits		3,942		5,114
Accounts receivable, net		5,258		8,179
Investments in Trusts		3,590		3,590
Other		226		224
	\$	28,753	\$	33,798

10. NOTES PAYABLE

The components of notes payable are summarized as follows:

12

	June 30, 2006			December 31, 2005		
Mortgage and construction loans with banks bearing interest at fixed rates between 4.65% and 7.50%. The loans are collateralized by mortgages on real estate assets and the assignment of rents. Principal and interest payments are made monthly with all outstanding principal and interest due between March 1, 2008 and July 1, 2016.	\$	727,286	\$	652,980		
Mortgage and construction loans with banks bearing floating interest rates (including loans subject to interest rate swaps) based on LIBOR and Prime. Interest rates based on LIBOR are between LIBOR plus 1.75% (7.08% and 6.14% at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively) and LIBOR plus 2.25% (7.58% and 6.64% at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively). Interest rates based on Prime are at Prime plus 0.5% (8.75% and 7.75% at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively). The loans are collateralized by mortgages on real estate assets and the assignment of rents. Principal and interest payments are made monthly with all outstanding principal and interest due between August 2, 2006 and April 27, 2009.		78,394		94,213		
	\$	805,680	\$	747,193		

Real estate assets are pledged as collateral for the notes payable. The Company is subject to certain restrictive covenants relating to the outstanding notes payable. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at June 30, 2006.

In October 2004, the Company entered into a reverse interest rate swap agreement ("Swap Agreement") to float \$61,770 of 4.30% fixed interest rate secured notes due in June 2009. Under this Swap Agreement, the Company will receive interest at a fixed rate of 4.30% and pay interest at a variable rate equal to LIBOR plus 0.66%. The Swap Agreement matures at the same time the notes are due. This Swap Agreement is a fair value hedge, as defined by SFAS No. 133, and the fair value of the Swap Agreement is recorded as an asset or liability, with an offsetting adjustment to the carrying value of the related note payable. Monthly variable interest payments are recognized as an increase or decrease in interest expense.

The estimated fair value of the Swap Agreement at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was reflected as an other liability of \$3,080 and \$2,151, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, interest expense has been increased by \$186 and \$282 and reduced by \$148 and \$312, respectively, as a result of the Swap Agreement.

11. NOTES PAYABLE TO TRUSTS

During July 2005, ESS Statutory Trust III (the "Trust III"), a newly formed Delaware statutory trust and a wholly-owned, unconsolidated subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, issued an aggregate of \$40.0 million of preferred securities which mature on July 31, 2035. In addition, the Trust III issued 1,238 of Trust III common securities to the Operating Partnership for a purchase price of \$1.2 million. On July 27, 2005, the proceeds from the sale of the preferred and common securities of \$41.2 million were loaned in the form of a note to the Operating Partnership ("Note 3"). Note 3 has a fixed rate of 6.91% through July 31, 2010, and then will be payable at a variable rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.40% per annum. The interest on Note 3, payable quarterly, will be used by the Trust III to pay dividends on the trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities may be redeemed by the Trust III with no prepayment premium after July 27, 2010.

During May 2005, ESS Statutory Trust II (the "Trust II"), a newly formed Delaware statutory trust and a wholly-owned, unconsolidated subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, issued an aggregate of \$41.0 million of preferred securities which mature on June 30, 2035. In addition, the Trust II issued 1,269 of Trust II common securities to the Operating Partnership for a purchase price of \$1.3 million. On May 24, 2005, the proceeds from the sale of the preferred and common securities of \$42.3 million were loaned in the form of a note to the Operating Partnership ("Note 2"). Note 2 has a fixed rate of 6.67% through June 30, 2010, and then will be payable at a variable rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.40% per annum. The interest on Note 2, payable quarterly,

will be used by the Trust II to pay dividends on the trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities may be redeemed by the Trust II with no prepayment premium after June 30, 2010.

During April 2005, ESS Statutory Trust I (the "Trust"), a newly formed Delaware statutory trust and a wholly-owned, unconsolidated subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, issued an aggregate of \$35.0 million of trust preferred securities which mature on June 30, 2035. In addition, the Trust issued 1,083 of Trust common securities to the Operating Partnership for a purchase price of \$1.1 million. On April 8, 2005, the proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred and common securities of \$36.1 million were loaned in the form of a note to the Operating Partnership (the "Note"). The Note has a variable rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.25% per annum. The interest on the Note, payable quarterly, will be used by the Trust to pay dividends on the trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities may be redeemed by the Trust with no prepayment premium after June 30, 2010.

The Company follows FASB Interpretation No. 46R, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FIN 46R"), which addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs"). Under FIN 46R, Trust, Trust II and Trust III are VIEs that are not consolidated because the Company is not the primary beneficiary. A debt obligation has been recorded in the form of notes as discussed above for the proceeds, which are owed to the Trust, the Trust II, and the Trust III by the Company.

12. LINE OF CREDIT

The Company, as guarantor, and its Operating Partnership have entered into a \$100.0 million revolving line of credit, which includes a \$10.0 million swingline subfacility (the "Credit Facility").

The Credit Facility has an interest rate of 175 basis points over LIBOR (7.08% and 6.14% at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively). The Operating Partnership intends to use the proceeds of the Credit Facility for general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2006, the Credit Facility had approximately \$75.7 million of capacity based on the assets collateralizing the Credit Facility. The outstanding principal balance on the line of credit at June 30, 2006 and December 2005 was \$25 million and \$0, respectively. The maturity date on the line of credit is September 2007. The Credit Facility is collateralized by mortgages on certain real estate assets.

13. OTHER LIABILITIES

The components of other liabilities are summarized as follows:

	Jun	e 30, 2006	Dee	ember 31, 2005
Deferred rental income	\$	8,820	\$	7,322
Accrued interest		3,736		3,413
Accrued taxes and security deposits		3,894		1,618
Fair value of interest rate swap		3,080		2,151
Storage USA lease obligation liability		2,954		3,068
Property insurance payable				2,299
Other liabilities		4,842		3,914
	\$	27,326	\$	23,785

As a result of the acquisition of Storage USA, the Company recorded restructuring liabilities of \$4,638 relating to the assumption of a lease for a facility that will no longer be used in the Company's operations and \$2,441 for severance costs related to terminated employees of the prior business.

The following table sets forth the restructuring activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006:

14

	rest lial	ccrued ructuring pilities at ber 31, 2005	Cash Paid	Ad	re: liabi	Accrued structuring lities at June 30, 2006	
Facility exit costs	\$	3,068	\$ (114)	\$		\$	2,954
Severance costs		380	(1,374)		1,167		173
Total	\$	3,448	\$ (1,488)	\$	1,167	\$	3,127
Allocated to:							
Continuing operations	\$	3,448	\$ (1,488)	\$	1,167	\$	3,127
Discontinued operations			—		—		
	\$	3,448	\$ (1,488)	\$	1,167	\$	3,127

RELATED PARTY AND AFFILIATED REAL ESTATE JOINT VENTURE TRANSACTIONS 14.

The Company provides management and development services for certain joint ventures, franchise, third party and other related party properties. Management agreements provide generally for management fees of 6% of gross rental revenues for the management of operations at the self-storage facilities. The Company earns development fees of 4%-6% of budgeted costs on developmental projects and acquisition fees of 1% of the gross purchase price or the completed costs of development of acquired properties.

Related party and real estate joint ventures balances as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are summarized as follows:

June 30, 2006

December 31, 2005

Development fees receivable	\$ 2,586	\$ 2,552
Other receivables from properties	7,594	15,379
Receivables from Prudential relating to Storage USA acquisition	574	4,713
Other receivables from related parties	1,039	1,039
	\$ 11,793	\$ 23,683

Other receivables from properties consist of amounts due for expenses paid on behalf of the properties that the Company manages and management fees. Receivables from Prudential relating to the Storage USA acquisition represent amounts receivable from Prudential for general and administrative expenses, severance paid, and lease expenses paid relating to the Storage USA acquisition. The Company believes that all of these related party receivables are fully collectible. The Company did not have any payables to related parties at June 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

Management fee revenue for related party and affiliated real estate joint ventures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is summarized as follows:

			Three months ended			Six mont	ths ended	ns ended	
		June	2006	June 30, 2005	June	June 30, 2006		e 30, 2005	
ESW	Affiliated real estate joint ventures	\$	103	\$ 97	\$	204	\$	191	
ESNPS	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		104	96		206		192	
PRISA	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		1,259	—		2,491		—	
PRISA II	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		1,018	—		2,018			
PRISA III	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		456	—		908			
VRS	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		280	—		553		_	
WCOT	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		364	—		723			
Teachers	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		303	—		601			
Heitman	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		261	—		517			
ESD	Related party		110	58		206		109	
Other, franchisees and									
third parties	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		923	149		1,913		276	
		\$	5,181	\$ 400	\$	10,340	\$	768	

Development fee revenue for related party and affiliated real estate joint ventures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 is summarized as follows:

1	5
т	J

		Three months ended				Six months ended			
		June 30, 2006		June 30, 2005		Jun	e 30, 2006	Jun	e 30, 2005
Everest	Affiliated real estate joint ventures	\$	104	\$		\$	111	\$	208
Yancy	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		18		147		36		146
Other	Affiliated real estate joint ventures		—		50				79
ESD	Related party		53		65		78		96
		\$	175	\$	262	\$	225	\$	529

15. MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP

The Company's interest in its properties is held through the Operating Partnership. ESS Holding Business Trust I, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The Company, through ESS Holding Business Trust II, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is also a limited partner of the Operating Partnership. Between its general partner and limited partner interests, the Company held a 93.12% majority ownership interest therein as of June 30, 2006. The remaining ownership interests in the Operating Partnership of 6.88% are held by certain former owners of assets acquired by the Operating Partnership, which include a director and officers of the Company.

The minority interest in the Operating Partnership represents Operating Partnership units that are not owned by the Company. In conjunction with the formation of the Company and as a result of subsequent acquisitions, certain persons and entities contributing interests in properties to the Operating Partnership received limited partnership units in the form of either Operating Partnership units or Contingent Conversion Units ("CCUs"). Limited partners who received Operating Partnership units in the formation transactions have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem part or all of their Operating Partnership units for cash based upon the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of the Company's common stock at the time of the redemption. Alternatively, the Company may, at its option, elect to acquire those Operating Partnership units in exchange for shares of its common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to anti-dilution adjustments provided in the Operating Partnership agreement.

During July 2005, the Operating Partnership issued 1,470,149 Operating Partnership units valued at \$21.6 million in conjunction with the acquisition of Storage USA. During September 2005 and November 2005, 350,000 and 50,000 Operating Partnership units were redeemed in exchange for common stock, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, the Operating Partnership had 3,825,787 and 200,046 Operating Partnership units and CCUs outstanding, respectively.

Unlike the Operating Partnership units, CCUs do not carry any voting rights. Upon the achievement of certain performance thresholds relating to 14 earlystage lease-up properties, all or a portion of the CCUs will be automatically converted into shares of the Company's common stock. Initially, each CCU will be convertible on a one-for-one basis into shares of common stock, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. Beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2006, and ending with the quarter ending December 31, 2008, the Company will calculate the net operating income from the 14 wholly-owned early-stage lease-up properties over the 12-month period ending in such quarter. Within 35 days following the end of each quarter referred to above, some or all of the CCUs will be converted so that the total percentage (not to exceed 100%) of CCUs issued in connection with the formation transactions that have been converted to common stock will be equal to the percentage determined by dividing the net operating income for such period in excess of \$5.1 million by \$4.6 million. If any CCU remains unconverted through the calculation made in respect of the 12-month period ending December 31, 2008, such outstanding CCUs will be cancelled and restored to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. As of June 30, 2006, there were no CCUs converted to common stock as the 14 early-stage lease-up properties had not achieved the performance thresholds.

While any CCUs remain outstanding, a majority of the Company's independent directors must review and approve the net operating income calculation for each measurement period and also must approve any sales of any of the 14 wholly-owned early-stage lease-up properties.

16. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company's charter provides that it can issue up to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 4,100,000 Contingent Conversion Shares ("CCS"), \$.01 par value per share, and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share. As of June 30, 2006, 51,813,459 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding, 3,888,843 shares of CCSs were issued and outstanding and no shares of preferred stock were issued and outstanding. All stockholders of the

Company's common stock are entitled to receive dividends and to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders.

Unlike the Company's shares of common stock, CCSs do not carry any voting rights. Upon the achievement of certain performance thresholds relating to 14 early-stage lease-up properties, all or a portion of the CCSs will be automatically converted into shares of the Company's common stock. Initially, each CCS will be convertible on a one-for-one basis into shares of common stock, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. Beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2006, and ending with the quarter ending December 31, 2008, the Company will calculate the net operating income from the 14 wholly-owned early-stage lease-up properties over the 12-month period ending in such quarter. Within 35 days following the end of each quarter referred to above, some or all of the CCSs will be converted so that the total percentage (not to exceed 100%) of CCS issued in connection with the formation transactions that have been converted to common stock will be equal to the percentage determined by dividing the net operating income for such period in excess of \$5.1 million by \$4.6 million. If any CCS remains unconverted through the calculation made in respect of the 12-month period ending December 31, 2008, such outstanding CCSs will be cancelled and restored to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. As of June 30, 2006, there were no CCSs converted to common stock as the 14 early-stage lease-up properties had not achieved the performance thresholds.

While any CCSs remain outstanding, a majority of the Company's independent directors must review and approve the net operating income calculation for each measurement period and also must approve any sales of any of the 14 wholly-owned early-stage lease-up properties.

17. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in two distinct segments: (1) property management and development and (2) rental operations. Financial information for the Company's business segments is set forth below:

	Jı	ıne 30, 2006	December 31, 2005		
Balance Sheet					
Investment in real estate ventures					
Rental operations	\$	90,569	\$	90,898	
Total assets					
Property management and development		129,578		179,770	
Property operations		1,348,391		1,240,422	
	\$	1,477,969	\$	1,420,192	

	Three months ended				Six months ended			
	Ju	ne 30, 2006	Ju	ine 30, 2005	Ju	ne 30, 2006	Ju	ne 30, 2005
Statement of Operations								
Total revenues								
Property management and development	\$	6,511	\$	732	\$	12,706	\$	1,418
Rental operations		42,020		23,819		81,195		46,041
	\$	48,531	\$	24,551	\$	93,901	\$	47,459
Occuration and including descention and emotioning								
Operating expenses, including depreciation and amortization							<u>,</u>	6,600
Property management and development	\$	9,561	\$	3,557	\$	19,940	\$	6,693
Rental operations		24,104		15,185		47,939		29,741
	\$	33,665	\$	18,742	\$	67,879	\$	36,434
Income (loss) before interest, minority interests and equity in earnings of real estate ventures								
Property management and development	\$	(3,050)	\$	(2,825)	\$	(7,234)	\$	(5,275)
Rental operations		17,916		8,634		33,256		16,300
	\$	14,866	\$	5,809	\$	26,022	\$	11,025
Interest expense								
Property management and development	\$	(186)	\$	(94)	\$	(386)	\$	(139)
Rental operations		(12,598)		(7,399)		(24,383)		(13,593)
	\$	(12,784)	\$	(7,493)	\$	(24,769)	\$	(13,732)

Interest income								
Property management and development	\$	148	\$	66	\$	630	\$	76
Minority interest - Operating Partnership								
Property management and development	\$	(225)	\$	110	\$	(279)	\$	166
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures								
Rental operations	\$	1,087	\$	288	\$	2,226	\$	605
Not income (loss)								
Net income (loss)	¢	(2,220)	¢		¢	(5.0.4.4)	¢	
Property management and development	\$	(2,226)	\$	(2,455)	\$	(5,044)	\$	(4,567)
Rental operations	-	5,318	-	1,235	-	8,874	-	2,707
	\$	3,092	\$	(1,220)	\$	3,830	\$	(1,860)
Depreciation and amortization expense								
Property management and development	\$	201	\$	69	\$	384	\$	121
Rental operations	Φ	8,856	φ		φ		φ	
Remai operations	<u>_</u>		<u>_</u>	6,144	<u>_</u>	17,949	#	11,822
	\$	9,057	\$	6,213	\$	18,333	\$	11,943
Statement of Cash Flows								
Acquisition of real estate assets								
Property management and development	\$	(45,054)	\$	(14,634)	\$	(87,964)	\$	(69,961)
	-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	
Development and construction of real estate assets								
Property management and development	\$	(9,575)	\$	(1,806)	\$	(15,118)	\$	(2,873)
	18							
	10							

18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has guaranteed two construction loans for unconsolidated partnerships that own development properties in Baltimore, Maryland and Chicago, Illinois. These properties are owned by joint ventures in which the Company has 10% equity interests. These guarantees were entered into in November 2004 and July 2005, respectively. At June 30, 2006, the total amount of guaranteed mortgage debt relating to these joint ventures was \$12,079. These mortgage loans mature December 1, 2007 and July 28, 2008, respectively. If the joint ventures default on the loans, the Company may be forced to repay the loans. Repossessing and/or selling the self-storage facilities and land that collateralize the loans could provide funds sufficient to reimburse the Company. The estimated fair market value of the encumbered assets at June 30, 2006 was \$20,397. The Company has recorded no liability in relation to this guarantee as of June 30, 2006. The fair value of the guarantee is not material. To date, the joint ventures have not defaulted on their mortgage debt. The Company believes the risk of having to perform on the guarantee is remote.

The Company has been involved in routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. As of June 30, 2006, the Company was not involved in any material litigation nor, to its knowledge, was any material litigation threatened against it, or its properties.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 28, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Lancaster, California for approximately \$7.3 million.

On August 8, 2006, the Company purchased one self-storage facility located in Alpharetta, Georgia for approximately \$5.1 million.

20. ACCOUNTING AND DISCLOSURE CHANGES

In June 2005, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") released Issue No. 04-5, "Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights" ("EITF 04-05"). EITF 04-05 creates a framework for evaluating whether a general partner or a group of general partners controls a limited partnership and therefore should consolidate the partnership. EITF 04-05 states that the presumption of general partner control would be overcome only when the limited partners have certain specific rights as outlined in EITF 04-05 is effective immediately for all newly formed limited partnerships and for existing limited partnership agreements that are modified. EITF 04-05 is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

19

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our "Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" and the "Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements" contained in this report and the "Consolidated Financial Statements," "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Company makes statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in this Form 10-Q entitled "Statement on Forward-Looking Information." Amounts are in thousands (except per share data and unless otherwise stated).

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere in this report, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Our notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 describe the significant accounting policies essential to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Preparation of our financial statements requires estimates, judgments and assumptions. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions which we have used are appropriate and correct based on information available at the time that they were made. These estimates, judgments and assumptions can affect our reported assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported revenue and expense during the period presented. If there are material differences between these estimates, judgments and assumptions and actual facts, our financial statements may be affected.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require our judgment in its application. There are areas in which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result, but there are some areas in which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would produce a materially different result. See the notes to the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements that contain additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures.

OVERVIEW

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust formed to continue the business commenced in 1977 by our predecessor companies to own, operate, acquire, develop and redevelop professionally managed self-storage properties. We derive a majority of our revenues from rents received from tenants under existing leases at each of our self-storage properties. Additional revenue is derived from management and franchise fees from our joint venture and franchise properties. We operate in competitive markets where consumers have multiple self-storage properties from which to choose. Competition has and will continue to impact our results. We experience minor seasonal fluctuations in occupancy levels, with occupancy levels higher in the summer months due to increased rental activity.

Our operating results depend materially on our ability to lease available self-storage space and on the ability of our tenants to make required rental payments. We believe we are able to respond quickly and effectively to changes in local, regional and national economic conditions by centrally adjusting rental rates through the combination of our internal revenue management team and our industry-leading technology systems.

We continue to evaluate a range of growth initiatives and opportunities. These include:

- <u>Maximize the performance of properties through strategic, efficient and proactive management.</u> We plan to pursue revenue generating and expense minimizing opportunities in our operations. Our revenue management team will seek to maximize revenue by responding to changing market conditions through our technology system's ability to provide real-time, interactive rental rate and discount management. Our scale allows greater ability than many of our competitors to implement national, regional and local marketing programs, which we believe will attract more customers to our stores at a lower net cost.</u>
- Focus on the acquisition of self-storage properties from strategic partners and third parties. Our acquisitions team will continue to pursue the
 acquisition of single properties and multi-property portfolios that we believe can provide stockholder value. Our July 2005 acquisition of Storage
 USA has bolstered our reputation as a reliable, ethical buyer, which we believe enhances

20

our ability to negotiate and close non-brokered, private deals. In addition, our status as an umbrella partnership real estate investment trust enables flexibility when structuring deals.

- <u>Develop new self-storage properties</u>. We have several joint venture and wholly-owned development properties and will continue to develop new self-storage properties in our core markets. Our development pipeline for the remainder of 2006 through 2007 includes 15 of these projects. The construction of most of these properties has already begun.
- <u>Expand the Company's management business</u>. We see the management business as a future development and acquisition pipeline and expect to pursue strategic relationships with owners that should strengthen our acquisition pipeline through agreements, such as first right of refusal agreements.

PROPERTIES

To expand our business, on July 14, 2005, the Company, through our subsidiary Extra Space Storage LLC ("ESS LLC") and our operating partnership, Extra Space Storage LP (the "Operating Partnership"), closed the acquisition (the "Transaction") of various entities that collectively comprise the Storage USA self-storage business pursuant to the Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated May 5, 2005, between ESS LLC, the Operating Partnership, Security Capital Self Storage Incorporated, a Delaware corporation, PRISA Self Storage LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, PRISA III Self Storage LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, PRISA III Self Storage LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, VRS Self Storage LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, WCOT Self Storage LLC, a Delaware limited liability company of America, a New Jersey corporation (together with its affiliates, "Prudential").

In connection with the Transaction, we acquired 61 wholly-owned self storage properties, acquired Storage USA Partnership L.P.'s ("Storage USA") equity interest in joint ventures that collectively owned 78 properties and assumed the management of 60 franchises and third party owned properties. In addition, 259 self-storage properties were acquired in the Transaction by five separate limited liability companies owned by five subsidiaries of the Company and Prudential. The limited liability company agreements govern the rights and responsibilities of each such limited liability company. The Company also acquired \$37.7 million of notes receivable due from franchisees.

As of June 30, 2006, we owned and operated 556 properties located in 32 states and Washington, D.C. Of these properties, 208 are wholly owned and 348 are held in joint ventures with third parties. The properties owned and operated before the Storage USA acquisition are operated under the registered

Extra Space Storage brand name. We are currently implementing a re-branding program which will convert all of the Storage USA stores to the Extra Space Storage brand. The majority of this program is scheduled to be finished by December 31, 2006. As of June 30, 2006, we owned or had an ownership interest in approximately 40.4 million square feet of rentable space configured in approximately 380,000 individual self-storage units. Nearly 70% of the total number of properties are clustered around the larger population centers of Atlanta, Baltimore, Boston, Chicago, Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Miami, New York City, Orlando, Philadelphia, Phoenix, St. Petersburg/Tampa, San Francisco and Washington, D.C. These markets contain above-average population and income demographics and high barriers to entry for new self-storage properties. The clustering of assets around these population centers enables us to reduce our operating costs through economies of scale. The Storage USA acquisition has given us increased scale in many core markets as well as a solid presence in many markets where we had no previous scale.

We consider a property to be in the lease-up stage after it has been issued a certificate of occupancy, but before it has achieved stabilization. We consider a property to be stabilized once it has achieved either an 80% average occupancy rate for one year or has been open for three years.

As of June 30, 2006, greater than 100,000 tenants were leasing storage units at our 208 wholly-owned properties, primarily on a month-to-month basis, providing the flexibility to increase rental rates over time as market conditions permit. Although leases are short-term in duration, the typical tenant tends to remain at our properties for an extended period of time. For properties that were stabilized as of June 30, 2006, the median length of stay was approximately 11 months.

The following table sets forth additional information regarding the occupancy of our stabilized properties on a state-by-state basis as of June 30, 2006 and 2005. The information as of June 30, 2005 is on a pro forma basis as though all the properties owned at June 30, 2006 were under the Company's control as of June 30, 2005.

21

Stabilized Property Data Based on Location

LocationNumber of PropertiesWholly-Owned Properties3Arizona3California30Colorado5Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Mayaland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New York6Ohio44Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tenaessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures1Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7New Hampshire3New Hampshire3New Hersey18New Mexico9New Hersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Hersey18New Mexico <th>Number of</th> <th colspan="2">ompany Pro forma Comp mbar of Not Page</th> <th></th> <th>Company</th> <th colspan="2">Pro forma</th>	Number of	ompany Pro forma Comp mbar of Not Page			Company	Pro forma	
Wholly-Owned PropertiesArizona3California30Colorado5Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Newada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Itraina2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures3Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delavare1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana4Marsas3Kentucky4Maryland14Masachusetts7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nev Mexico9New Mexico9<	Units as of June 30, 2006 (1)	Number of Units as of June 30, 2005	Net Rentable Square Feet as of June 30, 2006 (2)	Net Rentable Square Feet as of June 30, 2005	Square Foot Occupancy % June 30, 2006	Square Foot Occupancy % June 30, 2005	
Arizona3California30Colorado5Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Newada11New Hampshire2New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pensylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures3Colorado3Conado3Conado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Gorgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kanas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7Michigan10Missouri5New Jangs3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9							
California30Colorado5Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures3Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7Michigan10Missouri5Indiana9New Jang3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9 <td>1 600</td> <td>4 654</td> <td>004.005</td> <td>220.025</td> <td>00.00/</td> <td>06.49/</td>	1 600	4 654	004.005	220.025	00.00/	06.49/	
Colorado5Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Newada1New Hampshire2New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Total Wholly-Owned3Virginia2Washington3Oregon1Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures12Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Masachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7New Mexico9New Mex	1,680 19,635	1,671 19,593	221,925 2,134,613	220,825 2,127,925	98.2% 89.2%	96.4% 87.6%	
Florida24Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Newada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Total Wholly-Owned5Total Wholly-Owned185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures2Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kanasa3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Hampshire3New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Nichigan10New Jesland1	2,394	2,411	2,134,613	302,506	91.6%	86.5%	
Georgia8Illinois3Kansas1Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tenaesce5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures12Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Conceticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Nexyland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Jampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico<	16,149	15,912	1,734,711	1,716,856	92.7%	93.2%	
Illinois 3 Kansas 1 Kansas 1 Kansas 1 Kentucky 3 Louisiana 2 Maryland 5 Massachusetts 22 Massachusetts 2 Mischigan 2 Missouri 3 Newada 1 New Hampshire 2 New Jersey 19 New York 6 Ohio 4 Oregon 1 Pennsylvania 8 Rhode Island 1 South Carolina 4 Tennessee 5 Texas 15 Utah 3 Virginia 2 Washington 3 Total Wholly-Owned 5 Sabilized 185 Properties Held in Joint-Ventures Alabama 4 Arizona 12 California 72 Colorado 33 Connecticut 9 Delaware 1 Florida 24 Georgia 3 Illinois 5 Indiana 9 Kansas 3 Kentucky 4 Maryland 14 Massachusetts 177 Michigan 100 Missouri 5 Nevada 7 New Hampshire 3 New Jersey 18 New Mexico 9 Colorado 7 Nevada 7 New Mexico 9 New York 21 Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10 Nesouri 2 Pennsylvania 10 New Jand 1	4,500	4,437	585,573	528,731	90.6%	88.4%	
Kentucky3Louisiana2Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pensylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Terase5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massouris5New Jampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Jesland10Nebol Island10Nehole Island10	2,147	2,138	196,937	197,201	80.8%	85.2%	
Louisiana 2 Maryland 5 Massachusetts 22 Mischigan 2 Mischigan 2 Mischigan 3 Nevada 1 New Hampshire 2 New Jersey 19 New York 6 Ohio 4 Oregon 1 Pennsylvania 8 Rhode Island 1 South Carolina 4 Tennessee 5 Texas 15 Utah 3 Virginia 2 Washington 3 Total Wholly-Owned 185 Total Wholly-Owned 185 Properties Held in 3 Utah 4 Arizona 2 Colorado 33 Connecticut 9 Delaware 1 Florida 24 Georgia 3 Illinois 5 Indiana 9 Kansas 3 Kentucky 4 Maryland 14 Massachusetts 17 Michigan 10 Missouri 5 Nevada 7 New Hampshire 3 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Mexico 9 New York 21 Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10 New Jersey 18	503	502	49,955	50,340	93.4%	79.2%	
Maryland5Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Ortal Wholly-Owned StabilizedAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado33Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilmiois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Nebol Island1	1,579	1,574	194,290	194,315	88.6%	84.9%	
Massachusetts22Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pensylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tenasse5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized1857Properties Held in Joint-Ventures2Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexic	1,410	1,411	147,490	147,900	95.5%	88.0%	
Michigan2Missouri3Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tenessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures72Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7New Jampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Nebole Island1	4,514	4,537	482,202	488,584	84.7%	80.4%	
Missouri 3 Nevada 1 New Hampshire 2 New Jersey 19 New York 6 Ohio 4 Oregon 1 Pennsylvania 8 Rhode Island 1 South Carolina 4 Iennessee 5 Texas 15 Utah 3 Virginia 2 Washington 3 Total Wholly-Owned 185 Properties Held in 3 Joint-Ventures 4 Alabama 4 Arizona 12 California 72 Colorado 33 Connecticut 99 Delaware 1 Florida 24 Georgia 3 Illinois 5 Indiana 9 Kansas 3 Kentucky 4 Maryland 14 Massachusetts 17 Michigan 10 Missouri 5 Nevada 7 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Jersey 18 New Mexico 9 New York 21 Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10	12,037 1,043	12,020 1,040	1,310,966 135,312	1,305,921 134,672	85.1% 84.8%	83.9% 76.5%	
Nevada1New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1Soth Carolina4Tennessee5Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized185ColoradoColoradoColoradoColoradoColorado3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massouri5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland10Miscouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Jelsand10Neole Island10	1,045	1,040	169,187	167,397	85.2%	81.8%	
New Hampshire2New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pensylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tenessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedColorath Carolina2Vashington3Omperties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts7Michigan10Missouri5New Jampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Jelsand10Nehole Island1	462	463	56,500	41,100	90.4%	89.8%	
New Jersey19New York6Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri5Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Neole Island1	1,006	1,015	125,309	117,268	82.5%	82.1%	
Ohio4Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedProperties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Nebole Island1	15,475	15,471	1,503,812	1,497,770	86.8%	88.2%	
Oregon1Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri5Nevada7Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	6,057	5,958	388,259	388,631	82.6%	84.5%	
Pennsylvania8Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilniois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri55Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Geiland10Nehode Island10	2,048	2,074	276,355	277,002	86.2%	81.1%	
Rhode Island1South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Colorado2Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Mascutusts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexino2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	767	762	103,610	104,770	94.1%	91.8%	
South Carolina4Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures1Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Vrk21Ohio12Oregon2Pensylvania10	6,128	5,914	637,294	610,774	81.8%	83.8%	
Tennessee5Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures185Alabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Ilhiois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri5Nevada7Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Vark21Ohio12Oregon2Pensylvania10Khode Island1	730	713	75,816	75,811	84.0%	85.4%	
Texas15Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedTotal Wholly-Owned StabilizedProperties Held in Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10	2,068	2,088	245,684	246,969	94.7%	91.3%	
Utah3Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures185Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico2Pensylvania10Nhode Island1	3,144 9,622	3,118 9,212	409,377 1,022,835	406,832 987,765	92.1% 89.2%	88.4% 86.7%	
Virginia2Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures185Alabama4Arizona12Colorado3Conorado3Conorado3Conorado3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Jamshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Jersey12Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10	1,524	1,520	209,965	209,150	92.8%	88.0%	
Washington3Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures185Alabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New dampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10	1,218	1,222	125,457	125,989	91.7%	91.1%	
Total Wholly-Owned Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures185Alabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10	2,030	2,017	244,595	241,895	96.3%	92.1%	
Stabilized185Properties Held in Joint-Ventures1Alabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Jersey18New Vork21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1							
Joint-VenturesAlabama4Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Missouri5New Hampshire3New Mexico9New Mexico9New Mexico91Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Khode Island1	121,219	120,128	13,081,620	12,914,899	88.7%	<u>87.3</u> %	
Arizona12California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois55Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1							
California72Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	2,324	2,318	281,628	281,275	86.5%	84.6%	
Colorado3Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	7,457	7,399	806,791	807,157	94.3%	92.0%	
Connecticut9Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Vork21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10	51,931	52,076	5,316,072	5,331,241	89.5%	88.4%	
Delaware1Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Jarsey18New Hampshire3New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	1,905	1,906	215,813	216,232	86.0%	87.8%	
Florida24Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New Mexico9Ohio12Ohio2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	6,515 589	6,538 589	751,679 71,655	758,064 71,655	76.0% 85.5%	73.6% 84.3%	
Georgia3Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Jersey18New Mexico9New Vork21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	20,355	20,417	2,079,353	2,065,559	88.7%	86.1%	
Illinois5Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Newada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	1,916	1,912	251,530	251,772	82.9%	82.4%	
Indiana9Kansas3Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Newada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	3,342	3,320	362,472	357,382	78.7%	73.4%	
Kentucky4Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Wexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	3,733	3,736	468,563	470,029	90.2%	88.1%	
Maryland14Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	1,210	1,210	163,950	164,545	85.4%	76.3%	
Massachusetts17Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	2,270	2,234	268,289	267,307	84.6%	84.9%	
Michigan10Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	10,916	10,912	1,076,827	1,077,516	85.1%	83.7%	
Missouri5Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	9,255	9,287	1,051,512	1,050,252	80.8%	78.6%	
Nevada7New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	5,959	5,955	786,252	786,473	79.3%	80.2%	
New Hampshire3New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	2,774	2,745	325,615	324,150	87.6%	83.6%	
New Jersey18New Mexico9New York21Ohio12Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	4,632 1,330	4,624 1,331	621,772 138,964	622,880 139,229	92.1% 85.5%	95.6% 91.8%	
New Mexico 9 New York 21 Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10 Rhode Island 1	13,144	13,131	1,385,396	1,391,326	88.4%	87.9%	
New York 21 Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10 Rhode Island 1	4,727	4,473	528,864	519,484	87.1%	93.0%	
Ohio 12 Oregon 2 Pennsylvania 10 Rhode Island 1	23,598	23,576	1,741,554	1,750,817	83.8%	79.2%	
Oregon2Pennsylvania10Rhode Island1	5,586	5,574	826,787	826,151	81.0%	82.2%	
Pennsylvania 10 Rhode Island 1	1,286	1,275	137,140	136,240	95.3%	90.9%	
	6,816	6,792	732,300	726,999	84.6%	84.3%	
	611	611	74,005	74,005	68.3%	69.8%	
Tennessee 23	12,195	12,166	1,586,653	1,589,258	87.7%	85.5%	
Texas 20	13,254	13,214	1,670,795	1,663,100	80.3%	78.9%	
Utah 1 Virginia 15	524	518	59,700	59,300	93.5%	88.7%	
Virginia 15 Washington 1	10,359 551	10,344 551	1,106,770 62,730	1,106,041 62,730	87.1% 89.8%	86.4% 95.9%	
Washington, D.C. 1	1,536	1,534	101,990	105,592	86.2%	83.3%	

Total Stabilized Joint-Ventures	339	232,600	232,268	25,053,421	25,053,761	86.2%	<u>84.8</u> %
Total Stabilized	524	353,819	352,396	38,135,041	37,968,660	<u>87.0</u> %	<u>85.7</u> %

(1) Represents unit count as of June 30, 2006, which may differ from June 30, 2005 unit count due to unit conversions or expansions.
(2) Represents net rentable square feet as of June 30, 2006, which may differ from June 30, 2005 net rentable square feet due to unit conversions or expansions.

The following table sets forth additional information regarding the occupancy of our lease-up properties on a state-by-state basis as of June 30, 2006 and 2005. The information as of June 30, 2005 is on a pro forma basis as though all the properties owned at June 30, 2006 were under our control as of June 30, 2005.

Lease-up Property Data Based on Location

Location	Number of Properties	Company Number of Units as of June 30, 2006 (1)	Pro forma Number of Units as of June 30, 2005	CompanyPro formaNet RentableSquare Feet asNet Rentableof June 30, 2006Square Feet a(2)of June 30, 2006		Company Square Foot Occupancy % June 30, 2006	Pro forma Square Foot Occupancy % June 30, 2005
Wholly-Owned							
Properties							
Arizona	1	599	—	67,375	—	32.5%	0.0%
California	3	2,204	1,564	237,655	154,255	45.4%	42.9%
Connecticut	2	1,359	1,364	123,190	123,465	69.2%	63.0%
Florida	2	1,017	1,023	127,640	126,000	78.5%	73.5%
Illinois	2	1,132	1,139	144,370	144,690	77.7%	67.2%
Massachusetts	5	3,330	3,340	318,083	322,335	69.7%	60.5%
Nevada	1	780	795	74,635	75,485	83.7%	83.6%
New Jersey	3	2,427	2,542	223,130	225,677	81.1%	74.5%
New York	1	908	912	67,860	69,211	81.5%	69.4%
Pennsylvania	1	425	423	47,410	47,680	59.6%	42.5%
Virginia	1	727	726	75,700	75,525	83.5%	68.5%
Washington	1	529	529	61,250	61,250	80.2%	5.8%
Owned Lease- up	23	15,437	14,357	1,568,298	1,425,573	<u>69.4</u> %	62.0%
Properties Held in Joint- Ventures							
Illinois	2	1,646	675	149,904	72,370	34.8%	68.6%
Maryland	1	957	_	73,649	_	12.3%	0.0%
New Jersey	3	2,550	2,168	265,185	239,235	81.2%	72.9%
New York	1	622	620	64,555	64,430	79.9%	62.1%
Pennsylvania	1	774	780	76,773	76,838	80.0%	42.6%
Virginia	1	878	877	84,383	85,025	58.4%	38.2%
Total Lease-up Joint Ventures	9	7,427	5,120	714,449	537,898	61.4%	61.2%
Total Lease-up Properties	32	22,864	19,477	2,282,747	1,963,471	66.9%	61.8%

(1) Represents unit count as of June 30, 2006, which may differ from June 30, 2005 unit count due to unit conversions or expansions.
(2) Represents net rentable square feet as of June 30, 2006, which may differ from June 30, 2005 net rentable square feet due to unit conversions or expansions.

Our property portfolio is a made up of different construction types and unit configurations depending on the site and the municipality where it is located. Our sites are most often "hybrid" facilities, or a mix of both drive-up buildings and multi-floor buildings. We have several multi-floor buildings with elevator access only, and a number of facilities featuring ground-floor access only.

Our properties are generally situated in accessible, visible locations clustered within large population bases. In addition, we have a handful of locations outside the top Metropolitan Statistical Areas that were developed or acquired based on the market, familiarity with the properties, or as part of a larger portfolio.

In addition to the 208 wholly-owned properties and the 348 properties in which we have an ownership interest, we also managed 82 properties for third parties and franchisees as of June 30, 2006. We receive a management fee equal to approximately 6% of gross revenues to manage these sites.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Overview

Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 include the operations of 556 properties (208 of which were consolidated and 348 of which were in joint ventures accounted for using the equity method) compared to the results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, which included the operations of 148 properties (130 of which were consolidated and 18 of which were in joint ventures accounted for using the equity method).

Revenues

The following table sets forth information on revenues earned for the periods indicated:

		Three mor	nths er	nded	Six months ended				
	Jur	1e 30, 2006		June 30, 2005	J	lune 30, 2006	June 30, 2005		
Property rental	\$	42,020	\$	23,819	\$	81,195	\$	46,041	
Management and franchise fees		5,181		400		10,340		768	
Tenant insurance		971				1,892			
Development fees		175		262		225		529	
Other income		184		70		249		121	
Total revenues	\$	48,531	\$	24,551	\$	93,901	\$	47,459	

Property Rental – The increase in property rental revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 consists of \$13,744 and \$27,091, respectively, associated with the acquisition of 61 wholly-owned properties in conjunction with the Storage USA acquisition in July 2005, \$2,504 and \$4,265, respectively, associated with other acquisitions, \$1,482 and \$2,887, respectively, from rate increases at stabilized properties, and \$471 and \$911, respectively, from increases in occupancy at lease-up properties.

Management and Franchise Fees—Our taxable real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary, Extra Space Management, Inc., manages properties owned by our joint ventures, franchises and third parties. Management fees generally represent 6.0% of cash collected from properties owned by third parties and unconsolidated joint ventures. The increase in management fees is due mainly to new fees associated with the Storage USA acquisition. Through this acquisition we obtained equity interests in joint ventures which own a total of 336 properties. We obtained management contracts for these new joint venture properties, and also obtained over 50 new third party and franchise management contracts in conjunction with the Storage USA acquisition.

Tenant Insurance—Tenant insurance revenue relates to a new tenant insurance program adopted in 2005. This program was started in conjunction with the Storage USA acquisition to replace Storage USA's tenant insurance program.

Expenses

The following table sets forth information on expenses for the periods indicated:

		Three months ended				Six months ended			
	Jun	June 30, 2006		0, 2005	June 30, 2006		Jun	e 30, 2005	
Property operations	\$	15,248	\$	9,041	\$	29,990	\$	17,919	
Tenant insurance		589		—		1,222		_	
Unrecovered development/acquisition costs		24		168		342		275	
General and administrative		8,747		3,320		17,992		6,297	
Depreciation and amortization		9,057		6,213		18,333		11,943	
Total expenses	\$	33,665	\$	18,742	\$	67,879	\$	36,434	

Property Operations—The increase in property operations expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was primarily due to increases of \$4,712 and \$9,617, respectively, associated with the Storage USA acquisition, \$1,058 and \$1,618, respectively, associated with other acquisitions, and \$394 and \$800, respectively, primarily from utilities and property taxes.

Tenant Insurance—Tenant insurance expense relates to a new tenant insurance program adopted in 2005. This program was started in conjunction with the Storage USA acquisition to replace Storage USA's tenant insurance program.

General and Administrative—The increase in general and administrative expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was due mainly to the increased costs associated with the management of the additional properties that have been added through acquisitions and new joint venture arrangements entered into during 2005. Included in general and administrative expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 is compensation expense of \$630 and \$1,016, respectively, related to stock grants and stock options upon adoption of SFAS 123R.

Depreciation and Amortization—The increase in depreciation and amortization expense results from more properties being open during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 than were open during the quarter ended June 30, 2005 due mainly to acquisitions of new properties.

24

Other Revenues and Expenses

The following table sets forth information on other revenues and expenses for the periods indicated:

Three mor	ths ended	Six mont	hs ended
June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005

Interest expense	\$ (12,784)	\$ (7,493)	\$ (24,769)	\$ (13,732)
Interest income	148	66	630	76
Minority interest - Operating Partnership	(225)	110	(279)	166
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures	1,087	288	2,226	605
Total other income (expense)	\$ (11,774)	\$ (7,029)	\$ (22,192)	\$ (12,885)

Interest Expense—The increase in interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was due primarily to \$1,372 and \$3,318 of interest incurred on the new trust preferred debt and \$4,328 and \$8,457 of interest expense on the mortgage loans on the 61 properties acquired in connection with the Storage USA acquisition, respectively. This amount was partially offset due to repayment of borrowings from funds raised through our equity offerings during 2005. The remainder of the increase was due mainly to other new loans or advances on the line of credit related to other acquisitions. Capitalized interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$546 and \$929, respectively. There was no capitalized interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

Interest Income—The increase in interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 when compared to June 30, 2005 was primarily the result of the interest earned on approximately \$12 million of notes receivable that we acquired in connection with the Storage USA acquisition.

Minority Interest—Operating Partnership—Income/loss allocated to the Operating Partnership represents 6.88% and 7.96% of the net income/loss for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Equity in Earnings of Real Estate Ventures—The increase in equity in earnings of real estate ventures is due primarily to our purchase of new equity interests in joint ventures. As a result of the Storage USA acquisition we own joint venture interests in an additional 336 new properties.

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

Funds from operations ("FFO") provides relevant and meaningful information about our operating performance that is necessary, along with net loss and cash flows, for an understanding of our operating results. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. ("NAREIT") as net income (loss) computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"), excluding gains or losses on sales of operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization and after adjustments to record unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures on the same basis. We believe that to further understand our performance, FFO should be considered along with the reported net income (loss) and cash flows in accordance with GAAP, as presented in the consolidated financial statements.

The computation of FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs or real estate companies that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) as an indication of our performance, as an alternative to net cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity, or as an indicator of our ability to make cash distributions. The following table sets forth the calculation of FFO per share (dollars are in thousands, except for per share data):

	Three months ended					Six mont		
	Jun	e 30, 2006	June 30, 2005		June 30, 2006		June 30, 2005	
Net income (loss)	\$	3,092	\$	(1,220)	\$	3,830	\$	(1,860)
Plus:								
Real estate depreciation		6,648		3,902		13,121		7,666
Amortization of intangibles		1,951		2,109		4,504		4,036
Joint venture real estate depreciation		1,247		100		2,447		201
Income allocated to Operating Partnership minority interest		225				279		
Less:								
Loss allocated to Operating Partnership minority interest				(110)		—		(166)
Funds from operations	\$	13,163	\$	4,781	\$	24,181	\$	9,877
Diluted funds from operations per share	\$	0.24	\$	0.14	\$	0.43	\$	0.29

SAME-STORE STABILIZED PROPERTY RESULTS

We consider our same-store stabilized portfolio to consist of only those properties which were wholly-owned at the beginning and at the end of the applicable periods presented that have achieved stabilization as of the first day of such period. The following table sets forth operating data for our same-store portfolio. We consider the following same-store presentation to be meaningful in regards to the 103 properties shown below. These results provide information relating to property-level operating changes without the effects of acquisitions or completed developments.

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Percent	Six Mor	nths Ended	Percent
	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	Change	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	Change
Same-store rental revenues	\$ 20,774	\$ 19,486	6.6%	\$ 40,910	\$ 38,405	6.5%
Same-store operating expenses	7,117	6,790	4.8%	14,369	13,699	4.9%
Same-store net operating income	13,657	12,696	7.6%	26,541	24,706	7.4%
Non same-store rental revenues	21,246	4,333	390.3%	40,285	7,636	427.6%
Non same-store operating expenses	8,131	2,251	261.2%	15,621	4,220	270.2%
Total rental revenues	42,020	23,819	76.4%	81,195	46,041	76.4%
Total operating expenses	15,248	9,041	68.7%	29,990	17,919	67.4%

Same-store square foot occupancy as				
of quarter end	88.9%	87.7%	88.9%	87.7%
Properties included in same-store	103	103	103	103

The increase in same-store rental revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was due to increased rental rates and our ability to increase occupancy. The increase in same-store operating expenses was primarily due to an increase in utilities and property taxes.

COMMON CONTINGENT SHARES AND COMMON CONTINGENT UNIT PROPERTY PERFORMANCE

As described in the notes to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, upon the achievement of certain levels of net operating income with respect to 14 of our pre-stabilized properties, our contingent conversion shares ("CCS") and our Operating Partnership's contingent conversion units ("CCU") will convert into additional shares of common stock and Operating Partnership units, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, there have been no conversion of CCS/CCUs as these properties have not achieved the requisite level of net operating income. The table below outlines the performance of the properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

26

Three Mont	Three Months Ended				Percent
June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	Change	June 30, 2006	June 30, 2005	Change
\$ 2,545	\$ 1,973	29.0%	\$ 4,969	\$ 3,825	29.9%
1,330	1,312	1.4%	2,659	2,620	1.5%
1,215	661	83.8%	2,310	1,205	91.7%
39,475	21,846	80.7%	76,226	42,216	80.6%
13,918	7,729	80.1%	27,331	15,299	78.6%
42,020	23,819	76.4%	81,195	46,041	76.4%
15,248	9,041	68.7%	29,990	17,919	67.4%
74.7%	66.7%)	74.7%	66.7%	
14	14		14	14	
	June 30, 2006 \$ 2,545 1,330 1,215 39,475 13,918 42,020 15,248 74.7%	June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 \$ 2,545 \$ 1,973 1,330 1,312 1,215 661 39,475 21,846 13,918 7,729 42,020 23,819 15,248 9,041 74.7% 66.7%	June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 Change \$ 2,545 \$ 1,973 29.0% 1,330 1,312 1.4% 1,215 661 83.8% 39,475 21,846 80.7% 13,918 7,729 80.1% 42,020 23,819 76.4% 15,248 9,041 68.7%	June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 Change June 30, 2006 \$ 2,545 \$ 1,973 29.0% \$ 4,969 1,330 1,312 1.4% 2,659 1,215 661 83.8% 2,310 39,475 21,846 80.7% 76,226 13,918 7,729 80.1% 27,331 42,020 23,819 76.4% 81,195 15,248 9,041 68.7% 29,990 74.7% 66.7% 74.7%	June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 Change June 30, 2006 June 30, 2005 \$ 2,545 \$ 1,973 29.0% \$ 4,969 \$ 3,825 1,330 1,312 1.4% 2,659 2,620 1,215 661 83.8% 2,310 1,205 39,475 21,846 80.7% 76,226 42,216 13,918 7,729 80.1% 27,331 15,299 42,020 23,819 76.4% 81,195 46,041 15,248 9,041 68.7% 29,990 17,919 74.7% 66.7% 74.7% 66.7% 74.7%

The increase in CCS/CCU rental revenues was primarily due to increased rental rates and increased occupancy. The increase in CCS/CCU operating expenses was primarily due to an increase in property taxes and repairs and maintenance.

CASH FLOWS

Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$36,264 and \$4,445, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was due to the addition of new properties through the Storage USA acquisition and other acquisitions. There have also been lower cash funding requirements relating to our lease-up properties as occupancy has increased.

Cash used in investing activities was (\$107,850) and (\$76,605), respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The increase is primarily the result of higher acquisitions costs of real estate assets in the six months ended June 30, 2006 than the same period in 2005.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$47,183 and \$142,873 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2006 financing activities consisted primarily of additional net borrowings of \$72,357 offset by \$23,561 paid in dividends. The 2005 financing activities consisted primarily of additional net borrowings of \$76,714 offset by \$14,182 paid in dividends. During 2005, the Company issued 6.2 million shares of its common stock for net proceeds of \$81.3 million.

OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, we continued our same-store, year-on-year revenue growth with revenue increases of 6.6% and 6.5%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005. Occupancy at our stabilized properties was up on a year-on-year basis, averaging 87.0% as of June 30, 2006 compared to 85.7% as of June 30, 2005.

Property revenue growth remained strong with increases in every market in which we operate. The increase in property revenue is the result of gains in occupancy and increases in rental rates to both new and existing customers. Discounting continued to be higher on a year on year basis, but has had a net positive effect on both occupancy and overall revenue growth.

Property expenses increased primarily as a result of increases in property taxes and utilities. Property tax increases are the result of re-assessments on properties that we have acquired and other annual tax re-assessments of properties.

OUTLOOK

The continued increases in same-store revenue is positive, though there can be no assurance that this trend will continue. We expect a continued positive operating climate for self-storage operators, particularly for those with well-located, visible, and efficiently managed self-storage properties. We are continually seeking to drive revenue growth by actively managing both pricing and promotional strategies through our revenue management team and utilizing the yield management features of our technology system. In-house training and operational initiatives and marketing promotions continue to be implemented which also provide support for increased revenue at the store level.

We anticipate continued competition from all operators, both public and private, in all of the markets in which we operate. We expect competitors in the industry to continue aggressive promotional campaigns that may inhibit our ability to control discounts at certain properties in certain markets, especially at our properties in the lease-up phase.

REVENUE OUTLOOK

We believe that positive demand for self storage will continue and that our pricing and discount strategies position us well to increase revenues for the remainder of 2006 as compared to 2005. Insurance revenues are lower than forecasted as a result of lower penetration rates and will have a slightly negative effect on overall revenues for 2006. We aim to achieve the highest level of occupancy with the highest sustainable level of rental rates to increase revenues. We will continue to selectively discount certain markets, sites and units based on occupancy, availability, and competitive parameters that are controlled by the revenue management team through our technology solutions. We believe that the disciplined, systematic and proactive approach we use will have a continued positive effect on the maximization of revenue at our facilities.

With our enhanced size and scale, promotional opportunities such as radio and television advertising become more cost effective. We will continue to seek to more efficiently utilize our marketing expenditures by testing new approaches that drive traffic to the store level at the lowest possible cost and highest effectiveness. We believe that traditional self-storage marketing approaches such as yellow pages and newspaper advertisements are high cost and low return communication tools and we are redistributing dollars to marketing channels that we believe will provide a higher return at a lower cost.

EXPENSE OUTLOOK

Property taxes and utilities were the main components of our increased operating expenses in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in the prior year. Certain of our properties will continue to receive re-assessment and our utility expenses will likely continue to exceed prior years; however, we believe that the overall property expenses for the remainder of the year will be in-line with budgeted levels. In addition, the acquisition of Storage USA provides us with additional buying power for yellow pages and other advertising that we believe could lead to expense efficiencies in the future.

Included in our general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 is \$630 and \$1,016, respectively, of compensation expense relating to stock options and stock grants upon adoption of SFAS 123R. We estimate that we will continue to recognize expenses relating to options and grants at a rate similar to the amount incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

We believe that we currently have the personnel in place required to operate and grow our existing business. We do not foresee significant increases to our general and administrative expenses in future periods unless we experience significant growth or other unforeseen events that necessitate the need to increase our current levels of staffing.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of June 30, 2006, we had \$4,250 available in cash and cash equivalents. We will be required to distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, to our stockholders on an annual basis to maintain our qualification as a REIT. We intend to use this cash to purchase additional self-storage properties and fund other working capital needs during the third quarter of 2006. Therefore, it is unlikely that we will have any substantial cash balances that could be used to meet our liquidity needs. Instead, these needs must be met from cash generated from operations and external sources of capital.

During September 2004, we, as guarantor, and our Operating Partnership entered into a \$100 million revolving line of credit ("Credit Facility"), which includes a \$10 million swingline sub facility. The Credit Facility is collateralized by self-storage properties. The Operating Partnership intends to use the proceeds of the Credit Facility for general corporate purposes and acquisitions. As of June 30, 2006, the Credit Facility had approximately \$75.7 million of available borrowings based on the assets collateralizing the Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2006, we had \$25,000 outstanding under the Credit Facility.

On October 4, 2004, we entered into a reverse interest rate swap with U.S. Bank National Association, relating to our existing \$61,770 fixed rate mortgage with Wachovia Bank, which is due in 2009. Pursuant to the swap agreement, we will receive fixed interest payments of 4.30% and pay variable interest payments based on the one-month LIBOR plus 0.66% on a notional amount of \$61,770. There were no origination fees or other up front costs incurred by us in connection with the swap agreement.

As of June 30, 2006, we had approximately \$950.3 million of debt, resulting in a debt to total capitalization ratio of 51.3%. As of June 30, 2006, the ratio of total fixed rate debt and other instruments to total debt was 89.1%. The weighted average interest rate of the total of fixed and variable rate debt at June 30, 2006 was 5.5%.

We expect to fund our short-term liquidity requirements, including operating expenses, recurring capital expenditures, dividends to stockholders, distributions to holders of Operating Partnership units and interest on our outstanding indebtedness out of our operating cash flow, cash on hand and borrowings under our Credit Facility.

Long-Term Liquidity Needs

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of distributions to stockholders, new facility development, property acquisitions, principal payments under our borrowings and non-recurring capital expenditures. We do not expect that our operating cash flow will be sufficient to fund our long term liquidity needs and instead expect to fund such needs out of additional borrowings, joint ventures with third parties, and from the proceeds of public and private offerings of equity and debt. We may also use Operating Partnership units as currency to fund acquisitions from self-storage owners who desire tax-deferral in their exiting transactions.

FINANCING STRATEGY

We will continue to employ leverage in our capital structure in amounts determined from time to time by our board of directors. Although our board of directors has not adopted a policy which limits the total amount of indebtedness that we may incur, we will consider a number of factors in evaluating our level of indebtedness from time to time, as well as the amount of such indebtedness that will be either fixed or variable rate. In making financing decisions, our board of directors will consider factors including but not limited to:

- the interest rate of the proposed financing;
- the extent to which the financing impacts flexibility in managing our properties;
- prepayment penalties and restrictions on refinancing;
- the purchase price of properties acquired with debt financing;
- long-term objectives with respect to the financing;
- target investment returns;
- the ability of particular properties, and the Company as a whole, to generate cash flow sufficient to cover expected debt service payments;
- overall level of consolidated indebtedness;
- timing of debt and lease maturities;
- provisions that require recourse and cross-collateralization;
- corporate credit ratios including debt service coverage, debt to total capitalization and debt to undepreciated assets; and
- the overall ratio of fixed- and variable-rate debt.

Our indebtedness may be recourse, non-recourse or cross-collateralized. If the indebtedness is non-recourse, the collateral will be limited to the particular properties to which the indebtedness relates. In addition, we may invest in properties subject to existing loans collateralized by mortgages or similar liens on our properties, or may refinance properties acquired on a leveraged basis. We may use the proceeds from any borrowings to refinance existing indebtedness, to refinance investments, including the redevelopment of existing properties, for general working capital or to purchase additional interests in partnerships or joint ventures or for other purposes when we believe it is advisable.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Except as disclosed in the notes to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we do not currently have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purposes entities, which typically are established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, except as disclosed in the notes to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we have not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities nor do we have any commitments or intent to provide funding to any such entities. Accordingly, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in these relationships.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2006:

	 Total	Less Than 1 Year		1-3 Years		4-5 Years		After 5 Years
Operating leases	\$ 45,200	\$	4,484	\$	8,684	\$	8,160	\$ 23,872
Notes payable, notes payable to trusts and line of credit								
Interest	271,873		50,897		95,399		56,255	69,322
Principal	950,269		2,981		216,715		292,800	437,773
Total contractual obligations	\$ 1,267,342	\$	58,362	\$	320,798	\$	357,215	\$ 530,967

SEASONALITY

The self-storage business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. A greater portion of revenues and profits are realized from May through September. Historically, our highest level of occupancy has been as of the end of July, while our lowest level of occupancy has been in late February and early March. Results for any quarter may not be indicative of the results that may be achieved for the full fiscal year.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Risk

Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our future income, cash flows and fair values of financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

As of June 30, 2006, we had \$950.3 million in total debt of which \$103.4 million was subject to variable interest rates (including the \$61.8 million on which we have the reverse interest rate swap). If LIBOR were to increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the increase or decrease in interest expense on the variable rate debt would increase or decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1 million annually.

Interest rate risk amounts were determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur. Further, in the event of a change of that magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

The fair value of fixed rate notes payable and notes payable to trusts at June 30, 2006 was \$800,202. The carrying value of these fixed rate notes payable at June 30, 2006 was \$846,876.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(i) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide a reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We have formed a disclosure committee that is responsible for considering the materiality of information and determining the disclosure obligations of the Company on a timely basis. The disclosure committee reports directly to our Chief

30

Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

(ii) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a- 15(f)) that occurred during our most recent quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various litigation and proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We are not a party to any material litigation of legal proceedings, or to the best of our knowledge, any threatened litigation or legal proceedings, which, in the opinion of management individually, or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We held our annual meeting of stockholders on May 24, 2006. The first item of business was the election of seven members of the board of directors. The votes were tabulated as follows: 41,414,568 votes were cast for Kenneth M. Woolley and 530,683 votes were withheld; 41,839,474 votes were cast for

Anthony Fanticola and 101,810 votes were withheld; 41,385,517 votes were cast for Hugh W. Horne and 555,767 votes were withheld; 39,011,314 votes were cast for Spencer F. Kirk and 2,933,937 votes were withheld; 39,263,642 votes were cast for Joseph D. Margolis and 2,677,642 votes were withheld; 39,092,116 votes were cast for Roger B. Porter and 2,842,695 votes were withheld; and 39,243,948 votes were cast for K. Fred Skousen and 2,690,863 votes were withheld. The second item of business was a proposal to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2006. The votes were tabulated as follows: 41,905,807 were cast for, 30,938 were cast against, and 8,506 abstained.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC. Registrant	
Date: August 9, 2006	/s/ Kenneth M. Woolley Kenneth M. Woolley	
	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	
Date: August 9, 2006	/s/ Kent W. Christensen Kent W. Christensen Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	
	32	

CERTIFICATION

I, Kenneth M. Woolley, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Extra Space Storage Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2006

By: /s/ Kenneth M. Woolley Name: Kenneth M. Woolley

CERTIFICATION

I, Kent Christensen, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Extra Space Storage Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this quarterly report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2006

By: /s/ Kent Christensen Name: Kent Christensen Title: Chief Financial Officer

Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S. C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer of Extra Space Storage Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 (the "Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Kenneth M. Woolley Name: Kenneth M. Woolley Title: Chief Executive Officer August 9, 2006

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of Extra Space Storage Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certifies to his knowledge on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 (the "Form 10-Q"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ Kent Christensen Name: Kent Christensen Title: Chief Financial Officer August 9, 2006